

CSCI 361 Lecture 9: Context-Free Languages II

Shikha Singh

Announcements & Logistics

- **HW 3** due Wed (Oct 9)
 - Please ensure that any DFA/ Parse tree images attached are clear
 - You can use figure flags to ensure LaTeX places them in the right spot
- Hand in **reading questions # 6** and pick up **reading questions #7**
- **Reminder:** What I did Last Summer Colloquium tomorrow
- CSCI 361 Midterm on **Oct 22 (Tuesday):**
 - In class exam 75 mins exam
 - Can bring your notes but no screens allowed
 - A textbook will be available for reference
 - Will provide more details about format before exam

Last Time

- Wrapped up regular languages
- Started context-free grammars

Today and Coming Lectures

- More on context-free languages and push-down automata
 - Less focus on automata than regular languages
 - Still good to know
- Non-context-free pumping lemma

Regular Languages are Context-Free

- Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ be a DFA for the regular language L
- We can construct a CFG G for L as follows
 - Make a variable Q_i for each state $q_i \in Q$
 - For each $q_i, q_j \in Q$ and $a \in \Sigma$ such that $\delta(q_i, a) = q_j$ a rule a rule $Q_i \rightarrow a Q_j$
 - Make Q_0 the start variable
 - Add $Q_i \rightarrow \varepsilon$ if $q_i \in F$

Regular Grammars

- A CFG is **regular** if any occurrence of a variable on the RHS of a rule is as the rightmost symbol
- If a CFG is regular, there is a NFA that recognizes the same language
 - $Q = V \cup \{f\}$ (A state for each variable plus an accept state)
 - Rule $A \rightarrow aB$ becomes $\delta(A, a) = B$
 - If there is a $A \rightarrow a$ then $\delta(A, a) = f$

CFG for this Language?

- CFG for $L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}$
- Union of $L_1 = \{a^i b^i c^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ and $L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$

Closure Properties of CFLs

- CFLs are closed under
 - Union
 - Concatenation
 - Kleene star
- Not closed under complement and intersection!

Closure Properties of CFLs

Given $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$

$G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$

Union: $L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$ is generated by

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1, S \rightarrow S_2\}$

NB: Assume that $V_1 - \Sigma_1, V_2 - \Sigma_2$ are disjoint.

Closure Properties of CFLs

Given $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$

$G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$

Union: $L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$ is generated by

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1, S \rightarrow S_2\}$

Concatenation: $L(G_1)L(G_2)$ is generated by

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1S_2\}$

NB: Assume that $V_1 - \Sigma_1, V_2 - \Sigma_2$ are disjoint.

Closure Properties of CFLs

Given $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$

$G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$

Union: $L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$ is generated by

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1, S \rightarrow S_2\}$

Concatenation: $L(G_1)L(G_2)$ is generated by

$R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1S_2\}$

Kleene *: $L(G_1)^*$ is generated by


$R_1 \cup \{S \rightarrow e \mid S \rightarrow S_1S\}$

Automata for CFGs

- Regular Languages : Finite Automata
- Context-free languages: ??

Pushdown Automata

- Basically an NFA with a stack (pushdown store)
- The stack can consist of unlimited number symbols but can only be read and altered at the top:
 - Can only pop symbol from top or push symbol to top



Pushdown Automata Transitions

- Transitions of a PDA have two parts:
 - **State transition** and **stack manipulation** (push/pop)
 - If in state p reading input symbol a and b on the stack, replace b with c on the stack and enter state q
 - $(p, a, b) \rightarrow (q, c)$
 - $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \times \Gamma_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\varepsilon)$
- In state diagram arrow goes from $p \rightarrow q$ with label $a, b \rightarrow c$


Formal Definition: PDA

- A pushdown automaton is a six tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where
 - Q is the finite set of states
 - Σ is a finite alphabet (the input symbols)
 - Γ is a finite tape alphabet (the stack symbols)
 - $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \times \Gamma_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ is the transition function
 - $q_0 \in Q$ is the initial state and $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states

Example PDA

- Consider the language over $\Sigma = \{[,]\}$ of all strings made up of correctly nested brackets
- CFG for this language: $S \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid [S] \mid SS$
- Now lets create a push-down automata for this language
- What to store on the stack?

Example PDA for Balanced Brackets




Recall: A transition of the form $a, b \rightarrow z$ means “if the current input symbol is a and the current stack symbol is b , then follow this transition, pop b , and push the string z ”

PDA Acceptance: Informal

- A PDA accepts an input string w if there is a computation that:
 - starts in the start state and empty stack
 - has a sequence of valid transitions
 - at least one computation branch ends in an accept state with an empty stack
- A PDA computation branch "dies off" if
 - no transition matches the input (as in an NFA)
 - no rule matches the stack states
 - any combination of the above
- Language of a PDA: set of all strings that are accepted


PDA More Examples

- $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$




PDA More Examples

- PDA for $L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } i = k\}$




PDA More Examples

- PDA for $L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } i = k\}$



PDA More Examples

- PDA for $L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } i = k\}$



Practice Problem

- Draw a PDA for $L = \{ww^R \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$
- Solution is in the book (Sipser 2.1)

Equivalence: CFG \iff PDA

Theorem. A language is context-free if and only if it is recognized by some (non-deterministic) pushdown automaton.

Note: Unlike DFA and NFA, non-deterministic PDAs are more powerful than deterministic PDAs.

