CSCI 361 Lecture 10: Context-Free Languages III

Shikha Singh

Announcements & Logistics

- HW 3 due tomorrow at 10 pm
 - Please ensure that any DFA/ Parse tree images attached are clear
 - You can use figure flags to ensure LaTeX places them in the right spot
- Hand in **reading questions # 7** and **pick up reading questions # 8**
- CSCI 361 Midterm on Oct 22 (Tuesday):
 - In class exam 75 mins exam
 - Can bring your notes but no screens allowed
 - A textbook will be available for reference

Last Time

- Introduced a push-down automata
 - NFA with an infinite stack
- Computation model equivalent of context-free-grammars

Today and Coming Lectures

- Intuition behind CFL \iff Push-down automata (PDA)
- Pumping lemma to prove non-context-free
- Next lecture: Turing machines

Equivalence: $CFG \iff PDA$

Theorem. A language is context-free if and only it is recognized by some (non-deterministic) pushdown automaton.

Won't prove this formally but will discuss high-level intuition towards the end of lecture

Note: Unlike DFA and NFA, non-deterministic PDAs are more powerful than deterministic PDAs.

Intuition: CFG \implies PDA

- Consider a CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$
- Construct a PDA with three main states: start, loop and accept state (some extra states for bookkeeping)
 - Start by putting S on the stack
 - Each time top of stack is a variable A, guess a rule of the type $A \rightarrow u$ replace A with RHS of the rule
 - Each time top of stack is a terminal match it to the current input symbol (computation dies off it they don't match)
 - If you reach bottom of stack at any point in a branch, accept
 - All variables have been replaced and non-terminals matched

Example: $CFG \implies PDA$

 $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow \mathbf{a} T \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{b} \\ T \rightarrow T \mathbf{a} \mid \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{array}$



Intuition: PDA \implies CFG

- Wlog assume the PDA has one accept state, empties stack before accepting and each move is a push or pop (but not both)
- Let Q be the states of the PDA
- Create variables for each pair of states: $\{A_{pq} \mid p, q \in Q\}$
- A_{pq} generates all strings that take the PDA from p to q starting from an empty stack and ending at an empty stack
 - Such strings can also take PDA from p to q from a non-empty stack returning to exactly the same stack contents
- Start variable is A_{q_0,q_f} where q_0 is start state and q_f is accept state

Intuition: PDA \implies CFG

- Add the rules
 - $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$ for every triple $p, q, r \in Q$

•
$$A_{pp} \to \varepsilon$$
 for $p \in Q$



Intuition: PDA \implies CFG

- Finally, if there are rules of the form $(p, a, \epsilon) \to (r, u)$ and $(b, s, u) \to (q, \epsilon)$
- To simulate this add the rule $A_{pq} \rightarrow a A_{rs} b$ where PDA goes from p to q after pushing a and s to r after popping b



- Proved using a similar "pumping lemma" as regular languages
- With respect to regular languages:
 - pumping lemma exploits the fact that if a string is long enough, a state is repeated in the DFA for the language (loop)
- With respect to CFLs:
 - pumping lemma exploits the fact that if a string is long enough, deriving it requires recursion (repeated use of a variable)
- Lemma based length of parse trees for derivations

Parse Trees and CFGs

• Consider the CFG for $A = \{w \# w^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$:

 $S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid \#$

• Consider a parse tree for w = aab#baa



Parse Trees and CFGs

- Variable S is repeated
- Can "pump up" or "pump down" to create strings in the language
 - Replace yellow with violet: aa#aa
 - Replace violet with yellow: aabb#bba







Pumping Lemma: CFLs

- Statement: If L is a CFL, then there is a number p (the pumping length) where for any $s \in L$ of length at least p, it is possible to divide s into five pieces s = uvxyz satisfying the conditions
 - |. |vy| > 0
 - 2. $|vxy| \le p$
 - 3. For each $i \ge 0$, $uv^i xy^i z \in L$
- Note that vxy can appear anywhere in the string as long as they are no longer than p symbols long



Pumping Lemma (CFL): Intuition

- If the grammar generates a long enough string then the parse tree for that derivation must be "tall enough"
- If each node in a tree has at most b children and the tree has height h, what is the maximum number of leaves it can have?

• b^h

- If a tree has at least b^{h+1} leaves and each node has degree at most b, what can we say about the height?
 - At least h + 1

Pumping Lemma (CFL): Proof

- Consider a CFG G and let b be the maximum number of symbols on the RHS of G
- Let |V| be the number of variables
- Consider a $w \in L(G)$ of length at least $b^{|V|+1}$
- Consider the derivation of w in the smallest parse tree
 - Each node has at most b children
 - Num of leaves = $|w| \ge b^{|V|+1}$
- What can we conclude about the height of the parse tree?
 - Longest path from root to leaf (height) is at least |V| + 1

- Number of variables in a path with |V| + 1 edges is |V| + 1
- Some variable must be repeated in this derivation



- Let second occurrence of repeated variable R generate x and first occurrence must then generate a string of the form vxy
- Overall the string must contain *vxy* and is of the form *uvxyv*



• **Takeaway:** Can replace the smaller subtree under the second occurrence of R with the larger one and still have a valid derivation



• Condition 3: Strings of the form $uv^i xy^i z$ and uxy should all be valid strings in the language



• **Condition I:** Both v and y should not be ε . If they were both ε then then smaller parse tree generating uxz generates w but this violates our assumption that we started with the smallest parse tree.



• Condition 2: $|vxy| \le p$: R is chosen to be among the bottom |V| + 1 variables and is the longest path in the parse tree, then the subtree vxy is at most |V| + 1 high and thus $|vxy| \le 2^{|V|+1} = p$







Using the Pumping Lemma

• **Problem.** Apply the pumping lemma to prove that the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is not context-free.

Using the Pumping Lemma

- **Problem.** Apply the pumping lemma to prove that the language $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is not context-free.
- Proof. Assume L is context-free with pumping length p.
- Select $w = a^p b^p c^p \in L$ and has length $3p \ge p$
- Consider all possible ways to partition w into uvxyz s.t. condition (2) and (3) hold: |vy| > 0 and $|vxy| \le p$
 - Notice that *vxy* cannot be made up of all three letters (why?)

Using the Pumping Lemma

- **Problem.** Apply the pumping lemma to prove that the language $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is not context-free.
- Proof. Assume L is context-free with pumping length p.
- Select $w = a^p b^p c^p \in L$ and has length $3p \ge p$
- Consider all possible ways to partition w into uvxyz s.t. condition (2) and (3) hold: |vy| > 0 and $|vxy| \le p$
 - **Case I.** At least one of v or y contains two distinct symbols. Then xv^2xy^2z contains symbols out of order and $\notin L$
 - **Case 2.** Both v and y contain the same symbol (both are a's or both b's or both c's then $uxz \notin B$

Pumping Lemma: CFLs

- Statement: If L is a CFL, then there is a number p (the pumping length) where for any $s \in L$ of length at least p, it is possible to divide s into five pieces s = uvxyz satisfying the conditions
 - |. |vy| > 0
 - 2. $|vxy| \le p$
 - 3. For each $i \ge 0$, $uv^i xy^i z \in L$
- Note that vxy can appear anywhere in the string as long as they are no longer than p symbols long

Practice

• **Problem.** Apply the pumping lemma to prove that the language $\{ww \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ is not context-free.

Practice

- **Problem.** Apply the pumping lemma to prove that the language $\{ww \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ is not context-free.
- Choosing $w = 0^p 10^p 1$ does not work



- Matching two different "pairs" of strings is essence of being non CFL
- Another try to capture this: $w = 0^p 1^p 0^p 1^p$

Pumping Lemma Proof Tips

- Proofs using the PL devolve to examining a bunch of cases
 - Can become painful to read/write
- Try to use closure properties whenever possible
- Try to select w that will lead to as few cases as possible
- Try to cover as many similar cases at once as possible: if several cases are analogous, address them in one general argument

CFL: Intersection Closure

- Intersection of a CFL with a regular language is context-free
- Intersection of two CFLs is not necessarily context-free
 - Example?