# **Lecture 13: Code Review and SIMD Instructions 2**

Sam McCauley October 25, 2024

Williams College

- Apply to be a TA! (Especially for Algorithms). Due today
- Start Assignment 2!
- Remember that this is an assignment so you should do the work on your own/with class materials.
- Handout on the website to help you with Assignment 2!!!
- Homework 3/Assignment 1 back. Homework 4 soon
- Questions?

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**[Assignment 1 Discussion](#page-2-0)**

• Most people had the right intuition for this problem, but few people got it entirely right

• Let's review the problems, then go over the solution on the board

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**[Homework 3 Discussion](#page-4-0)**

- Everyone did great! Good job.
- One trick for accessing slots I saw that makes life a little nicer
- Slots are 8 bits. So we can access them as 8-bit variables rather than using bit tricks
- (Similar to what we saw yesterday with casting a chunk of a string as an integer)

```
uint32 t* bin = filter-stable + pos;uint8_t* slot = (uint8_t*) (bin);
for (int i = 0; i < filter->binSize; ++i) {
 if(*(slot + i) = 0){
   *(slot + i) = fingerprint;return;
```
- A lot of you did this well, but I want to emphasize
- The best way to write effective, understandable code is by organizing it
- One of the best ways to organize is via functions
- Let's look at some example student code

```
int binGet(Filter* filter, uint64 t h, int binNum) {
    int bin = filter - stable[h];int res = (bin >> (binNum * 8)) & ((1 << filter->fingerprintLength) - 1):
    // print(f("binGet(filter, %Id, %d) = %x, bin = %x\)'', h, binNum, res, bin):return res:
void binSet(Filter* filter, uint64 t h, int binNum, int f) {
    // printf("binSet(filter, %ld, %d, %d)\n", h, binNum, f);
    // resets bits binNum*8..binNum*8+7
    filter->table[h] \&= (-1 - (((1 \< \text{filter-}\text{+} \text{inqerr}) \text{inlLength}) - 1) \< (\text{binNum} \times 8)))// adds the bits from f to binNum*8..binNum*8+7
    filter->table[h] |= f \ll (binNum * 8);
```

```
// tries to insert into a bin; returns 0 if fails, 1 if succeeds
int binInsert(Filter* filter, uint64 t h, int f) {
    for (int i = 0; i < filter->binSize; i++) {
        if (!binGet(filter, h, i)) {
            binSet(filter, h, i, f);
            return 1;
    return 0:
```

```
void cuckoo(Filter* filter, uint64 t h, int f, int depth) {
    if (depth >= filter->maxIter) {
        printf("MAX ITER REACHED. CUCK00 HAS FAILED.\n");
        return;
    int toCuckoo = filter->toCuckoo[h];
    filter->toCuckoo[h]++:
    int evictedF = binGet(filter, h, toCuckoo);
    uint64 t newBin = h \hat{ } (hashFingerprint[evictedF - 1] % (filter->numBins - 1) + 1);
    binSet(filter, h, toCuckoo, f);
    if (!binInsert(filter, newBin, evictedF)) {
        cuckoo(filter, newBin, evictedF, depth + 1);ł
```
• Writing more modular code is often the best way to make your code easier to work with

• Superior to comments; can even be superior to simplifying expressions with intermediate variables.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**[MinHash Notes](#page-12-0)**

First we generate a random permutation *P*.

- For every element *x*, take the first *k* entries of *P* that are in *x* (remember that *x* is a set)
- Concatenate them together to form a *signature*
- We want to compare every pair of elements with the same signature. So for each item, we hash the *signature* to index into a hash table of *n* bins.
- We all-compare all within each bin. If we find a close item we are done! Otherwise we start over from the beginning (with a new permutation)

### MurmurHash

Two functions you can call (either work for this use case):

```
void MurmurHash3_x86_32 (const void* key, int len, uint32_t
   seed, void* out);
```
• Hashes len bytes starting at key using random seed seed. Stores the output (32 bits) in out

```
void MurmurHash3_x64_128 (const void* key, int len, uint32_t
   seed, void* out);
```
- Hashes len bytes starting at key using random seed seed. Stores the output (128 bits) in out.
- Make sure you pass 128 bits! Something like uint  $64_t$  out  $[2] = \{0, 0\}$ ; works.

Why is 32 bits enough for Assignment 2?

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**[SIMD instructions](#page-15-0)**

- Students seemed to be a bit uncomfortable with this code excerpt
- Why do these give different results?

```
1 char* str = "abcd";2 uint64<sub>-</sub>t test =
3 *( (uint64_t *) str);
```
1 uint $64$ <sub>-</sub>t test = \*str;



- SIMD: **S**ingle **I**nstruction **M**ultiple **D**ata
- A single CPU instruction does an identical operation to multiple pieces of data
- Specialized circuits operate on each piece of data individually
- Can do bitwise operations, adding, multiplying, some others
- Also some operations to help load and read data
- Introduced on Intel processors in 1999, but fairly significantly expanded recently

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>**[SIMD Examples](#page-18-0)**

• Lots of identical operations on a set of elements; these operations are costly

- Elements are in nicely-sized chunks
	- Can always used specialized code to handle other cases

• Let's add two arrays of 16 32-bit integers with one SIMD operation

• simdtests512.c



• In assembly, the data is moved around, and there is a single (special) add operation

## Usual Breakdown of a SIMD Function

- Can get these functions from the Intel website; I'll give you all functions you need for Assignment 2 between here, the assignment, and simdtests512.c
- (Don't need *entire execution* to be SIMD on Assgn. 2! I want you to get *some* parallelism using the functions I've given you.)
- Example:  $m512i$   $mm512$  add epi32 ( $m512i$  a,  $m512i$  b):
	- $\cdot$  \_m512i is the return type (a 512 bit variable)
	- mm512 means that this is a 512 bit operation
	- add is the operation
	- epi32 means that we are operating on 32-bit words (as opposed to packing, say, 64 8-bit words into the 512 bits)
- Let's add one value (10) to each entry of an array.
- Do we need to declare a new array to do this?

• No! SIMD operations give us a single function that fills a variable with copies of a single value

• How much time does SIMD add (in total in our implementation) take compared to normal add?

• It's a bit faster

### Example 3: Searching for Particular Value in Array

- Can do vector comparisons, but get a 512-bit vector out
- Need a way to make that vector into something useful for us. Let's look at the code.
- mmask16 mm512 cmp epi32 mask( mm512i arg1, mm512i arg2, MM COMPINT ENUM type): does 16 comparisons at once, stores results of all (bit by bit) in a 16 bit integer
- type is one of: MM\_CMPINT\_EQ \_MM\_CMPINT\_LT \_MM\_CMPINT\_LE MM CMPINT FALSE MM CMPINT NE MM CMPINT NLT MM CMPINT NLE MM CMPINT TRUE

## Optimization comparison?

- What happens when we change to  $-03$ ?
- Everything gets faster!
- In previous tests: for adding and popcount, SIMD is suddenly slightly slower than without; SIMD is still best at finding 0 element
- Guesses as to why? ...Let's take a look at the assembly
	- gcc is vectorizing the operations by itself and doing it very slightly better
	- gcc only uses 256 bit operations by default, even if larger ones are available

#### <span id="page-27-0"></span>**[SIMD Discussion](#page-27-0)**

What are some downsides of using an SIMD instruction?

- SIMD instructions may be a little slower on a per-operation basis (folklore is a factor of  $\approx$  2 even for the operation itself, but it seems modern implementations are much better)
- Cost to gather items in new location
- SIMD is *not* always faster

How much can we save using SIMD? Let's say we're using 512 bit registers, and operating on 32 bit data.

- Factor of  $512/32 = 16$  at absolute best
- Realistically is going to be quite a bit lower in practice

• Bear in mind Amdahl's law when considering SIMD

• Only worth using on the most costly operations, and only when they work very well with SIMD

- What's a problem we've seen this semester that is particularly suited for SIMD speedup?
	- Hint: I'm not referring to any of the assignment problems
- Matrix multiplication: lots of time doing multiplications on successive matrix elements
- (SIMD works for some other problems too; I just wanted to highlight this as one of the classic examples.)
- A lot of the examples we saw were super simple
- Can the compiler use these operations automatically?
- As we just saw: yes it can
	- --ftree-vectorize
	- --ftree-loop-vectorize (turned on with O3)
	- Lots of extra option to tune gcc parameters for how it vectorizes
- But, as always, only is going to work in "obvious" situations.

```
void addArrays(int* A, int* B, int size){
     for(int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
         A[i] += B[i]:
     P
                                                     autosiml.c:10:A[i] += B[i];
                                                       .loc 1 10 8 is_stmt 0 discriminator 3 view .LVU7
                                                       movdau (*rdi, *rax), *xmm0 # MEM[base: A 12(D), i
                                                       movdqu (*rsi, *rax), *xmml # MEM[base: B 13(D), in
                                                       paddd
                                                              %xmm1. %xmm0
                                                                          # tmp154, vect 7.16
                                                       movups %xmm0, (%rdi,%rax) # vect 7.16, MEM [base:
int main() \{.loc 1 9 27 is stmt 1 discriminator 3 view .LVU8
                                                       .loc 1 9 17 discriminator 3 view .LVU9
     int * A = malloc(800*sizeof(*A)):
                                                       adda
                                                              $16. \text{grav} #. ivtmp.30
     int* B = <math>malloc(800**sizeof(*B))</math>;for(int i = 0; i < 800; i++) {
         A[i] = i;B[i] = 800 - i;}
                                                   We can see the paddd SIMD instruction
     addArrays(A, B, 8)\overline{H}(on xmm1 and xmm0) when compiling
```
with  $-03$ .