## Lecture 17: (Mixed) Integer Linear Programming

Sam McCauley
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Williams College

## Admin

- Assignment 6 due Wednesday; Mini-midterm 3 out Thursday
- MM3 will look very much like Assignment 6, but will also have integer-constrained problems
- I'll try to grade Assignment 6 very quickly so that you get feedback for MM3. (I'll grade out of order if necessary.)
- No class next Monday!
- Chance to focus on MM3; reset a bit before part 4 of the course.


## Plan for Today

- Wrap up last lecture
- What is an integer linear program?
- Lots of examples!
- Some information about how solvers work


## Assignment 6 Questions?

- Problem 5: I really thought it was pretty clear when I did the problem but there are definitely a couple really ambiguous points
- Plan: I'm writing a clearer version of the problem. I'll post the clarified version on the website after class. (I'll announce on slack but not email.)
- Shouldn't change the contents of the problem but you should double-check it if you've already worked on it
- Other questions?


## One more LP

- Let's solve a difficult optimization problem with our LP solver
- Idea: a middle school closed. Students from 6 different areas need to be assigned to the three other existing middle schools in the area. How can we do that?
- Need to assign all students; make sure students are reasonably well-balanced; minimized cost of transportation
- One problem: will wind up with fractional number of students assigned. How can we resolve this?
- Round!
- Does make our solution not optimal. But we'll discuss: by how much?


## Setting up school problem

- Three schools with capacities $900,1100,1000$
- Grades 6, 7, and 8
- Each grade assigned to a school must consist of between $30 \%$ and $36 \%$ of the school's total assignment. (Can't give one school all eighth graders.)
- Let's look at numbers in terms of what students are from each area, and how much it costs to get students from an area to a school.


## School Problem Numbers

| Area | 6th | 7 th | 8th | School 1 | School 2 | School 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 144 | 171 | 135 | $\$ 300$ | 0 | $\$ 700$ |
| 2 | 222 | 168 | 210 | - | $\$ 400$ | $\$ 500$ |
| 3 | 165 | 176 | 209 | $\$ 600$ | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 200$ |
| 4 | 98 | 140 | 112 | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 500$ | - |
| 5 | 195 | 170 | 135 | 0 | - | $\$ 400$ |
| 6 | 153 | 126 | 171 | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 300$ | 0 |

- Three schools with capacities $900,1100,1000$
- Each grade assigned to a school must consist of between $30 \%$ and $36 \%$ of the school's total assignment. (Can't give one school all eighth graders.)
- minimize total cost


## Our solution is fractiona!!

- What can we do?
- Round up or down; make sure constraints are still met
- How much can this affect our cost?
- Each school will probably end up with $\approx 1$ student away from optimal. Unlikely to be more than $\$ 1000$ or so off.
- When is this strategy not a good idea?
- When rounding changes the solution by a larger amount


## Definitions

- Integer Linear Program (ILP): has linear constraints and objectives, but all variables are required to be integers
- Mixed Integer Linear Program (MIP): linear constraints and objectives. Some variables are required to be integers, some variables are continuous


## Why it's Useful

- Benefits from some structure (not as much as LP)
- Efficient solvers in practice
- Extremely widely applicable


## Some good and bad news

- Solving an ILP or an MIP is NP-hard
- Bad news: can't guarantee to solve an ILP efficiently
- Good news: if an ILP solver tends to be efficient in practice, we can solve NP hard problems
- Can guarantee optimal solutions!


## Travelling Salesman



This is an optimal TSP instance with tens of thousands of points.

## (Literally) Packaging Items

- Pack items onto pallets (bins)
- 3 dimensional
- May not have integral sizes
- Other constraints for what goes on what


## Packaging Items

From Elhedhli, Gzara, and Yildiz 2019:


## Visualization of an ILP



- Still a polytope given by inequalities
- But now, we're restricted to integer grid points
(Figure from L. Vandenberghe)


## ILP and MIP Examples

## Simple MIP

Diet problem from last lecture, but peanuts and rice only come in 100 g bags. Chicken we may order as many grams as we want.

Objective: $\min 1.61 p+.79 r+.7$ This means $p$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \begin{array}{c}
r \text { are integers. } \\
25.8 p+2.5 r+13.5 c
\end{array}{ }^{40} \\
16.1 p+28.7 r \quad 130 \\
p \geq 0, r \geq 0, c \geq 0 \\
p, r \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Using GLPK for ILP and MIP

- after "bounds" section (or after "constraints" section if no bounds)
- can write general, integer, or binary
- Then list variables of that type. (Binary variables must be 0 or 1, general are just normal LP variables)
- Default: general


## Two Towers!

- Get a list of heights (let's forget about taking square roots-it's OK if the heights are not integers) $h_{1}, \ldots h_{n}$
- Want to divide into two towers $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ to minimize $\left|\sum_{i \in T_{1}} h_{i}-\sum_{j \in T_{2}} h_{j}\right|$.


## Two Towers as an ILP

- Idea: build the smaller tower, make it as large as possible (but less than half total height)
- Variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. We have $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $i$. Goal: $x_{i}=1$ if $i$ is in the smaller tower
- Objective: $\max \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} h_{i}$
- Constraints:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} h_{i} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i} \\
x_{i} \in\{0,1\} \text { for all } i & =1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why does this work?

- Every $x_{i}$ is 0 or 1
- The total height of all items $i$ with $x_{i}=1$ is less than half the height (so it's the smaller tower), and is as large as possible
- So every assignment of 0 and 1 to $x_{i}$ corresponds to a two tower solution. The ILP solution picks the best one.


## First attempt: rounding

- Can we solve this as an LP and then round the solution?
- No! LP is trivially solvable with all but one variable being an integer.


## How is GLPK going to do on two towers?

- Who thinks it will do better? Worse? (GLPK uses a direct ILP solver; does not use rounding)
- Answer: it takes a long time $(\approx 4.5$ minutes $)$ and gives a pretty bad solution
- Appear to be some precision issues.
- We'll come back to some ideas about why it doesn't do well in a minute


## Doctor Assignments

- Let's say we have $n$ doctors and $n$ hospitals
- Want to match doctors to hospitals
- Doctor $i$ lives distance $d_{i, j}$ from hospital $j$
- Goal: match doctors with hospitals to minimize total driving distance
- (Other methods? Yes, but this generalizes easily)


## Doctor Assignments: ILP

- What should our variables be?
- $x_{i, j}=1$ if doctor $i$ is assigned to hospital $j, x_{i, j}=0$ otherwise
- Constraints?
- $x_{i, j} \in\{0,1\}$
- For all $i: \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i, j}=1$ (every doctor has one hospital)
- For all $j: \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, j}=1$ (every hospital has one doctor)


## Doctor Assignments: ILP

Constraints:

- $x_{i, j} \in\{0,1\}$
- For all $i: \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i, j}=1$
- For all $j: \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, j}=1$

Objective? (Recall: goal is minimize total distance)

- $\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} d_{i, j}$


## Solving MIPs

## First thought: Can we Round?

- LP relaxation: just remove the integer constraints
- $e_{i, j} \in\{0,1\}$ becomes $e_{i, j} \geq 0$ and $e_{i, j} \leq 1$.
- How badly can this do?


## Rounding MIPs

- Can do arbitrarily badly, even for simple ILPs
- May work effectively if the problem has a special structure that makes rounding effective
- Example: the diet problem is probably solved fairly well by rounding (will only be off by 1 unit of each food)


## Second Method: Cutting ILPs



- Cut the LP without removing integer solutions
- After enough cuts, can round and get a good solution!
- Not always possible, but surprisingly effective methods in practice for some types of problem
- Many MIP solvers find these cuts for you


## Third Method: Prove the LP has integral soln

- Broad class of LPs are guaranteed to give optimal solutions
- We won't go over in this class except on this slide!
- Example for linear algebra people: if your constraint matrix is totally unimodular then there exists an optimal integer solution


## Third Method: Prove the LP has integral soln

- Broad class of LPs are guaranteed to give optimal solutions
- We won't go over in this class except on this slide!
- Example for flow-reduction-lovers: if you write a flow problems as an LP where all constraints are integers, there exists an optimal integer solution


## Main MIP Solving Method:

 Branch and Bound
## Branch and Bound

- Two towers: really wanted to "rule out" some of the search space
- Maintain worst-case guarantees
- This is the idea behind branch and bound
- This is a large class of algorithms; I'm giving a high level description of the idea


## Branching



- First, we divide the problem into several subproblems
- Visualization is useful: just partition the feasible region into several pieces
- So far, still need to search through all of them (same as brute force)


## Branching and Bounding



## Branching and Bounding



## Branching and Bounding



## What do we need?

- Way to get a good solution in orange region: recurse!
- Or: can just do a simple greedy method.
- Way to upper bound best solution in purple region??
- Relax to an LP! Might not give a good upper bound, but will give an upper bound (Recall: LPs are relatively fast to solve)
- Duality can help


## Branch and Bound Intuition

- Let us rule out big parts of the polytope
- "Everything in here has a bad objective function, so we can skip it." (This is the bound part)
- Many practical problems have large parts that are easy to skip. (If we're stacking groceries on pallets, no need to spend time looking at solutions with bread on the bottom.)
- The more we branch (find good solutions), the more we can bound (rule out parts of the search space whose solutions are suboptimal)


## Branch and Bound in Practice

- Advanced methods to figure out what parts of the polytope to search, and how accurately to bound them
- The better your choices, the more you can rule out
- Other methods (greedy, LP cuts, duality, heuristic search, etc.) can be integrated into this method


## Branch and Bound in Practice

- Solvers are generally optimized for a given problem
- Dedicated solvers for TSP, Knapsack, that make branching decisions and use bounding methods particularly effective for that problem
- This is how you get the optimal, giant TSP tours
- Also some general-purpose solvers


## Branch and Bound Summary

- Always gives an optimal solution
- May not find it quickly on tricky problems
- Two Towers performance was not great...any ideas why that is?


## Solvers

These solvers have both LP and MIP solvers (using different algorithms):

- GLPK (simplex, branch and bound). Open source. Standalone program is fairly easy to use; can also access from C.
- CPLEX - IBM software for MIPs. Old but reliable. Proprietary. Effective, but can be difficult to work with
- COIN-OR - open source solver
- Google OR tools - wrapper for COIN-OR. Has a really nice TSP and Knapsack solvers. More user friendly

