

# Quiz 6

CSCI 334: Spring 2024

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

The `Equiv` function is defined as follows.

$$\text{Equiv}(p1, p2) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } p1 \text{ and } p2 \text{ compute the same output on all possible inputs.} \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where `p1` and `p2` are functions of the form

```
def MyFunc(x):  
    ...
```

We are going to prove by reduction that `Equiv` is not computable. I need your help checking my proof.

1. What's the one fact we should assume in this proof? (hint: what function can we assume we have in our standard library)

That the `Equiv` function exists and is computable.

2. Name a function already known not to be computable.

The `Halt` function.

### 3. Here's my reduction function.

```
def Halt(p, x):
    p1 = "def MyFunc1(y):\n" +
        "    " + p + "\n" +
        "    MyFunc(" + x + ")\n" +
        "    return 1"
    p2 = "def MyFunc2(y):\n" +
        "    return 1"
    return Equiv(p1, p2)
```

(a) Suppose the  $p$  we call `Halt` with is:

```
def MyFunc(x):
    x = 0
    while (true):
        x += 1
    return x
```

and suppose  $x$  is 2. What is the value of  $p1$  in `Halt`?

```
def MyFunc1(y):
    def MyFunc(x):
        x = 0
        while (true):
            x += 1
        return x
    MyFunc(2)
    return 1
```

You can think of  $p1$  as a “gadget” that makes  $p$  (whatever it is) return the same thing as `MyFunc2` (i.e., 1) if and only if  $p$  halts.

(b) Is the reduction correct? Why or why not? Explicitly consider what happens when a given  $p$  halts and when it does not.

Yes, the reduction is correct.

If  $p$  halts, then  $p1$  will also halt and return 1. Observe that  $p1$  will always return 1, no matter the input  $y$ , because we ignore  $y$  and always run it on  $x$ . Since  $p2$  always returns 1 for every input (it is a constant function), `Equiv(p1, p2)` returns `true` whenever  $p$  halts.

If  $p$  does not halt, then  $p1$  will also not halt. In fact,  $p1$  does not halt, no matter the input  $y$ . In this case, `Equiv(p1, p2)` returns `false` whenever  $p$  does not halt, because  $p2$  always halts and returns 1.

Since `Equiv(p1, p2)` returns `true` whenever  $p$  halts and `Equiv(p1, p2)` returns `false` whenever  $p$  does not halt, then clearly we are able to write a `Halt` function. However, we already know that we cannot write a `Halt` function; it is undecidable. Therefore, we've derived a contradiction and must conclude that our assumption that `Equiv` could exist is false. `Equiv` is not computable.