CSCI 334:
Principles of Programming Languages

Lecture 13: Parsing

Instructor: Dan Barowy

Williams

Outline

Parts of a language

Parser combinators


Hoare Property

"There are two ways of constructing a software design: One way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies, and the other way is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies." — C.A.R. Hoare

---

How do programs run?

```
int main() {
  printf("hello\n");
  return 0;
}
```

1. lexical analysis ("front-end")

2. evaluation ("back-end")

Front-end: the parser

A **parser** is a **function** that takes as input a string of symbols conforming to the rules of a formal grammar. If the string is not a valid sentence in the language, the parser **rejects** the string. If the string is a valid sentence in the language, the parser **accepts** the string and outputs a data structure that **represents the meaning of the sentence**.

For programming languages, meaning is generally represented in the form of an **abstract syntax tree** (AST). In an AST, conventionally, interior nodes are operations, and leaves are data.

Front-end: the parser

The subject of today's lesson.

Back-end: the evaluator

There are two kinds of back-end:

1. Interpreter

2. Compiler

Interpretation

Interpretation Downsides

- Usually (very) slow

  (often 100-200x slower than compilation)

LET IT BE KNOWN FOR ALL ETERNITY THAT PHARAOH TUTANKHAMUN LOVES PIZZA

Interpretation Advantages

- An interpreter is "just a program" so debugging a language is the same as debugging any other program.

Some interpreted languages

- Most Lisps
- Python
- Ruby
- MATLAB
- R
- (sort of) Java and JavaScript

Compilation

AST

Rule

compile

IN

OUT

output (machine code)

```
movf 0x1233, fp2
mulf #60.0, fp2
movf $8(sp), fp1
addf fp2, fp1
movf fp1, $12(sp)
```

Compilation

AST

```
movf x1233, fp2
mulf #60.0, fp2
movf $8(sp), fp1
addf fp2, fp1
movf fp1, $12(sp)
```

input

output

Rule comp

Rule x86

Some compiled languages

- C
- C++
- Go
- FORTRAN
- Java (sort of)
- C# (ditto)
- F# (ditto)

Compilation Advantages

- Usually (very) fast

  (often 1.5-2X slower than hand-optimized

  assembly code)

- Compiled program is in machine (binary)

  format; difficult to debug a buggy language

  because many steps separate source program

  from final output.

## Code "Optimization"

- Intermediate Code:
  ```
  temp1 = convert_int_to_double(60)
  temp2 = mult(rate, temp1)
  temp3 = add(initial, temp2)
  position = temp3
  ```
- Optimized Code:
  ```
  temp1 = mult(rate, 60.0)
  position = add(initial, temp1)
  ```
- Generated Machine Code:
  ```
  movf rate, fp2
  mulf #60.0, fp2
  movf initial, fp1
  addf fp2, fp1
  movf fp1, position
  ```

Compilation Downsides

- Compilation can take a long time

- Cannot modify program without source code.

- Hard to evolve language; compilers are complex.

Some hybrid (JIT) languages

- Java (C#, F#)

- JavaScript

JIT-Compilation

AST

input

output

new program

```
movf 0x1233, fp2
mulf #60.0, fp2
movf $8(sp), fp1
addf fp2, fp1
movf fp1, $12(sp)
```

History

- Surprisingly, compilers were invented before interpreters.

- More obvious to early engineers.

Compilers: History

- Invented by Grace Hopper in 1952 while working on the A-0 and FLOW-MATIC languages.
- Work eventually became the COBOL programming language, still widely in use today.

Compilers: History

I used to be a mathematics professor. At that time I found there were a certain number of students who could not learn mathematics. I then was charged with the job of making it easy for businessmen to use our computers. I found it was not a question of whether they could learn mathematics or not, but whether they would. [...] They said, 'Throw those symbols out — I do not know what they mean, I have not time to learn symbols.' I suggest a reply to those who would like data processing people to use mathematical symbols that they make them first attempt to teach those symbols to vice-presidents or a colonel or admiral. I assure you that I tried it. — Grace Hopper

Interpreters: History

- Invented by John McCarthy in 1958 while working on LISP.
- Invented as a byproduct of McCarthy's thinking about computation from first principles.
- McCarthy wanted to build computers that could *think*!

- LISP was too resource hungry for most uses at the time.

Parsers

Parser Combinators

Parser Combinators

- A kind of recursive decent parser.

- A **recursive descent parser** is a parser built from a set of **mutually recursive procedures** where each such procedure usually **implements one of the productions** of the grammar.

- Recursive descent parsers are "**top-down**," meaning that they recognize sentences by expanding nonterminals, starting from the start symbol.

- "**Bottom-up**" parsers start with *terminal* symbols and work in the opposite direction, often utilizing dynamic programming… these are more common in practice!

Basic Primitives

- Input

```
type Input = string * bool
```

- Output

```
type Outcome<'a> =
| Success of result: 'a * remaining: Input
| Failure of fail_pos: int * rule: String
```

Basic Primitives

- A parser is

```
type Parser<'a> = Input -> Outcome<'a>
```

- Keep in mind: a parser *is a function*.

Two varieties of parser

- Parsers that consume input. Correspond with grammar terminals.

- Parsers that combine parsers. Correspond with grammar non-terminals. Also called "combining forms."

- For flexibility, you can also have parsers that do both.

A very simple terminal parser

- To parse a given char

  `pchar(c: char) : Parser<char>`

- Notice that the generic type inside `<brackets>` is the return type of the parser.

- So `pchar` returns a *parser*.

- When it is run with an *input*, it returns an `Outcome<char>`.

How to use it

- `(pchar 'z') input`

- input must be "prepared" first.

- ```
  > let input = "zoo";;
  val input : string = "zoo"
  > let i = prepare input;;
  val i : Input = ("zoo", true)
  > (pchar 'z') i;;
  val it : Outcome<char> = Success ('z',("oo", true))
  ```

A very simple combining parser

- To parse two things in sequence:

  ```
  pseq : p1:Parser<'a> -> p2:Parser<'b> ->
  f:('a * 'b -> 'c) -> Parser<'c>
  ```

- It looks more complicated than it is.

- Let's look at each part.

A very simple combining parser

- `pseq :`

  `p1:Parser<'a>`

  `->`

  `p2:Parser<'b>`

  `->`

  `f:('a * 'b -> 'c) -> Parser<'c>`

- `p1` is a parser.

A very simple combining parser

- `pseq :`

  `p1:Parser<'a>`

  `->`

  `p2:Parser<'b>`

  `->`

  `f:('a * 'b -> 'c) -> Parser<'c>`
- `p2` is a parser.

A very simple combining parser

- `pseq :`

  `p1:Parser<'a>`

  `->`

  `p2:Parser<'b>`

  `->`

  `f:('a * 'b -> 'c) -> Parser<'c>`
- `f` is a function that takes the result of `p1` and `p2` and does something with it. That something is up to **you**.

How to use it

- `pseq (pchar 'z') (pchar 'o') id`
- `id` is F#'s identity function.
- Let's play with this in `fsharpi`.

More details

- It is **critical** that you read the "Parser Combinators" reading.
- I suggest that you **sit down, uninterrupted, for an hour or two**, and **work through the examples** in `fsharpi`.
- The reading builds the `Parsers.fs` library that you are given for HW7.

Example: brace language

- An *expression* is a sequence of *terms*, consisting of *at least one term*.

- A *term* is either 'aaa', 'bbb', or a *brace expression*.

- A *brace expression* is '{', followed by an *expression*, followed by '}'.

Example: brace language

```
<expr>  ::= <term>+
<term>  ::= aaa
          |  bbb
          |  <brace>
<brace> ::= { <expr> }
```

Recap & Next Class

This lecture:

Parts of a language

Parser combinators

Next lecture:

SQL

Project ideas