

## Lab 6: Removing NULL bytes

*This short assignment will give you a little practice removing NULL bytes from an assembly program. NULL bytes will prevent shellcode from being able to pass unmolested through C string functions. Therefore, we remove them to make our attacks more robust.*

### 8.1 Learning Goals

In this lab, you will practice:

- producing assembly code from C code;
- producing object code from assembly code;
- examining object code for NULL bytes; and
- searching for alternative assembly instructions that do not produce NULL bytes.

### 8.2 Requirements

*Collaboration.* This is an ungraded assignment. You are encouraged to work with a partner.

*Platform.* This assignment must be completed on your Raspberry Pi, as it is specific to the ARMv6 architecture, the Linux operating system, and the C programming language.

### 8.3 Starter Code

Type the following programs into a text editor. We start with a simple program called `code.c`.

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main() {
    int x = 0;
    x += 72;
    putchar(x);
    x += 33;
    putchar(x);
    x -= 72;
    putchar(x);
    x -= 23;
    putchar(x);
    return 0;
}
```

Compile the above code in the usual way and run it. What does it do?

### 8.4 Part 1: Producing assembly

We can produce assembly for this code with the following command.

```
$ gcc -S code.c
```

You should see the file `code.s` appear. In this lab, you are going to manipulate `code.s` until all of the NULL bytes go away.

### 8.5 Part 2: Compiling assembly

There are two ways to compile assembly, depending on whether you want to *make a runnable program* or if you *just want to view the bytes in your functions*.

To make a runnable program, run

```
$ gcc -o code code.s
```

You should be able to run it like

```
$ ./code
```

Because runnable code must link against the C runtime, there is a lot of extra information in code's object code. To exclude this extraneous information, so that you can focus on your own code, run the following instead.

```
$ gcc -c code.s
```

which will create a file called `code.o`. Observe that we cannot run `code.o` even though it has a `main` method because it does not include the C runtime library.

```
# we have to mark code.o as "executable" first
$ chmod +x code.o
$ ./code.o
-bash: ./code.o: cannot execute binary file: Exec format error
```

## 8.6 Part 3: Viewing object code to look for NULLs

To view the object code in `code.o`, run

```
$ objdump -d code.o
```

which gives us

```
code.o:      file format elf32-littlearm
```

Disassembly of section `.text`:

```
00000000 <main>:
 0: e92d4800      push   {fp, lr}
 4: e28db004      add    fp, sp, #4
 8: e24dd008      sub    sp, sp, #8
 c: e3a03000      mov    r3, #0
10: e50b3008      str    r3, [fp, #-8]
14: e51b3008      ldr    r3, [fp, #-8]
18: e2833048      add    r3, r3, #72      ; 0x48
1c: e50b3008      str    r3, [fp, #-8]
20: e51b0008      ldr    r0, [fp, #-8]
24: ebfffffe      bl     0 <putchar>
28: e51b3008      ldr    r3, [fp, #-8]
2c: e2833021      add    r3, r3, #33      ; 0x21
30: e50b3008      str    r3, [fp, #-8]
34: e51b0008      ldr    r0, [fp, #-8]
38: ebfffffe      bl     0 <putchar>
3c: e51b3008      ldr    r3, [fp, #-8]
40: e2433048      sub    r3, r3, #72      ; 0x48
44: e50b3008      str    r3, [fp, #-8]
48: e51b0008      ldr    r0, [fp, #-8]
4c: ebfffffe      bl     0 <putchar>
50: e51b3008      ldr    r3, [fp, #-8]
54: e2433017      sub    r3, r3, #23
58: e50b3008      str    r3, [fp, #-8]
5c: e51b0008      ldr    r0, [fp, #-8]
60: ebfffffe      bl     0 <putchar>
```

```

64:  e3a03000    mov    r3, #0
68:  e1a00003    mov    r0, r3
6c:  e24bd004    sub    sp, fp, #4
70:  e8bd8800    pop   {fp, pc}

```

Now we can look for NULL bytes. Let's focus on the first instruction:

```

0:  e92d4800    push  {fp, lr}

```

Recall that `objdump` “helpfully” attempts to interpret this instruction as an integer word, so it displays the bytes in a rearranged order. Since this word really is an instruction, the rearrangement isn't actually helpful. We simply need to remember to reverse the bytes ourselves to understand their true order in memory. Therefore, `0xe92d4800` really is stored as `00 48 2d e9` on disk. Do you see the NULL byte? It's the `00` at the beginning of the word. How do we get rid of it?

## 8.7 Part 4: Replacing instructions

This instruction, as you probably recognize, is a part of the `main` function's preamble. The first question to ask yourself is: do I need to keep the preamble? Under certain circumstances, one way to get rid of NULL bytes is just to eliminate the instructions that produce them. However, here we can see that `main` calls another function, `putchar`. Like all C functions, `putchar` expects that the *stack discipline*<sup>1</sup> be maintained. So can we manipulate `push` instead?

Indeed we can. While it is important in maintaining the stack discipline that `fp` and `lr` be pushed to the stack, we can, of course, push other things as well. For example, `push {r1, fp, lr}` pushes `r1` to the call stack. Happily, when viewed with `objdump`, `push {r1, fp, lr}` yields the bytes:

```

0:  e92d4802    push  {r1, fp, lr}

```

If we're pushing more, we also need to `pop` more at the end to make sure that `fp` and `pc` are restored correctly.

```

70:  e8bd8802    pop   {r1, fp, pc}

```

That also looks good—no NULL bytes. But we did introduce a tiny wrinkle, didn't we? Observe that this program repeatedly loads and stores values from `fp`, `#-8`. Is that a problem?

<sup>1</sup> In other words, that the program maintains the invariant that the call stack is always valid.

## 8.8 Part 5: Running your code

It's probably a good idea to make tiny changes to your code and see if they work. Remember that you can compile and run your code like so:

```
$ gcc -o code code.s
$ ./code
```

If you see the same output as the binary produced when you compile `code.c`, you're on the right track. Also, don't forget that you can always use `gdb` to help you out when you're confused about what's happening.

## 8.9 Bonus: Replace symbols

The program we've been tinkering with is not intended to be used in shellcode. But we could use it as shellcode, couldn't we? Except that, since our program is compiled and linked *separately* from the vulnerable program, C will not correctly resolve function names ("symbols") to their correct addresses in the vulnerable program. So to make our attack work, we need to find all of the symbols and replace them with their correct addresses in the vulnerable program.

Assume that `putchar` is located at `0x00010300` in the vulnerable program. Can you replace `putchar` with this address instead?

## 8.10 Tips

Recall that *Lab 7* includes many NULL-removal tips. Your starter code for *lab 5* also includes some sample shellcode, which should give you some ideas. And, of course, you should refer back to the *ARM Assembly Guide* for help. Finally, you are welcome to use the Internet, particular Stack Overflow, for this assignment if you think it would be helpful.