

## CS256: Algorithm Design and Analysis

### Assignment 1 (due 2/14/24)

*Instructor: Sam McCauley*

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X typesetting is worth 5 points on this assignment.

### Time Complexity

**Problem 1** (10 points). Take the following list of functions and arrange them in ascending order of growth rate. That is, if function  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  are such that  $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$ , then  $g(n)$  must immediately follow  $f(n)$  in your list separated by a comma. If two functions have asymptotically the same order, that is,  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ , then indicate that explicitly and place them at the same index in your list. For full credit, you must give a brief justification for the ordering of *each adjacent pair*.

To be clear: your solution should be an ordering of (a), ... (k). You should also label every adjacent pair in the ordering; first briefly explaining why your solution is accurate, and also stating if the adjacent pair has asymptotically the same order. Thus, overall, your solution should consist of 1 ordering, as well as 9 explanations.

**Note.** All logs are base 2. You may find that sometimes instead of comparing  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  directly, it is easier to compare  $\log(f(n))$  and  $\log(g(n))$ . As  $\log(x)$  is a strictly increasing function for  $x > 0$ ,  $\log(f(n)) < \log(g(n))$  implies  $f(n) < g(n)$ .

(a)  $\log n$

(g)  $4^{\log n}$

(b)  $\log \sqrt{n}$

(h)  $5^n$

(c)  $\sqrt{\log n}$

(i)  $n(\log n)^3$

(d)  $\log(\sqrt{3^n})$

(j)  $n^{\frac{2}{\log n}}$

(e)  $\sqrt{\log(3^n)}$

(k)  $2^{n^2}$

*Solution.*

□

**Problem 2** (10 points). For any  $n$ , consider the following input: For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the preferences for  $h_i$  are  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the preferences for  $s_i$  are  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$ .

Show that for any  $n$ , under the above input, the **while** loop of Gale-Shapeley iterates  $\Omega(n^2)$  times (in other words, the hospitals make  $\Omega(n^2)$  offers). You are not required to give a formal inductive proof—a clear English explanation suffices.

**Technical Clarification.** For this question, we will assume that the list of free hospitals is implemented using a queue, exactly as we saw in class, and assume that the queue begins with all hospitals in order  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  (so  $h_1$  will be the first hospital removed from the queue).

*Solution.*

□

**Problem 3** (10 points). Decide whether you think the following statement (Statement 1) is TRUE or FALSE. If the statement is true give a proof; if false give a counterexample.

**Statement 1.** Consider an instance of the Stable Matching problem in which there is a hospital  $h$  and a student  $s$  such that  $h$  is ranked first on the preference list of  $s$ , and  $s$  is ranked first on the preference list of  $h$ . Then  $h$  and  $s$  must be matched to each other in every stable matching for the instance.

*Solution.*

□

**Problem 4** (10 points). (KT 3.9) There is a natural intuition that two nodes that are far apart in a communication network, i.e. separated by many hops, have a more tenuous connection than two nodes that are close together. Here is one way of making this intuition precise.

Suppose that an  $n$ -node undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  contains two nodes  $s$  and  $t$  such that the distance between  $s$  and  $t$  is strictly greater than  $n/2$ .

- (a) Prove that there must exist some node  $v$ , not equal to either  $s$  or  $t$ , such that deleting  $v$  from  $G$  destroys all  $s$ - $t$  paths. (In other words, show that the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $v$  contains no path from  $s$  to  $t$ .)
- (b) Give an algorithm with running time  $O(m + n)$  to find such a node  $v$ .

*Solution.*

□

**Problem 5** (10 points). The diameter of a graph  $G$  is the “longest shortest path”, that is,  $\text{diam}(G) = \max\{\text{dist}(u, v) : u, v \in V\}$ , where  $d(u, v)$  is the length of the shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G$ .

One way to calculate the diameter is by calculating  $d(u, v)$  for all pairs of vertices and finding the largest. However, this is prohibitively expensive for large graphs, so some people have explored algorithms that can more quickly *estimate* the diameter of the graph.

Give a linear-time algorithm<sup>1</sup> that, given an undirected graph  $G$ , returns a diameter estimate that is always within a factor of  $1/2$  of the true diameter. That is, if the true diameter is  $d$ , show that your algorithm returns a value  $k$  where  $d/2 \leq k \leq d$ . You may assume that  $G$  is connected.

**Hint.** Let’s say I know the distance from  $x$  to  $y$  and the distance from  $y$  to  $z$ . What can I say about the distance from  $x$  to  $z$ ?

**Fun Fact.** This approximation factor is not far from optimal for a linear-time algorithm: there is a conditional lower bound showing that approximating the diameter to a factor better than  $3/2$  requires  $\Omega(n^2)$  time. See: Liam Roditty and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. “Fast approximation algorithms for the diameter and radius of sparse graphs.” STOC 2013.

*Solution.*

□

---

<sup>1</sup>Remember: Linear time for a graph  $G = (V, E)$  means time  $O(n + m)$ , where  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ .

## Bonus Feedback Question

Question is optional with bonus points for answering. Feel free to add a descriptive answer.

**Problem 6** (2 point). This problem set was:

- (a) Just right amount of challenging, hits a good balance!
- (b) Too challenging, and not in a good way.
- (c) On the easy side for now
- (d) Other (please specify)

*Solution.*

