CS 256 Graph Traversals

Admin

- Assignment 1 is out
 - Start soon!
- Finish up Assignment 0
 - Slack
- In-person class hopefully starts Monday
 - I'll send an email over the weekend
- Colloquium 3:15 PM today: what other students did in industry over the summer

BFS Tree Structure

• Property. Let *T* be a BFS tree of G = (V, E), and let (x, y) be an edge of *G*. Then, the levels of *x* and *y* differ by at most 1.



BFS Tree Structure

• Property. Let T be a BFS tree rooted at r of a connected unweighted graph, then the path from r to any node $u \in V$ in T is **the shortest** path from r to u.



Spanning Trees

- **Definition.** A spanning tree of an undirected graph G is a connected acyclic subgraph of G that contains every node of G.
- The tree produced by the BFS algorithm (with ((u, parent(u))) as edges) is a spanning tree of the component containing *s*.
- Connected component of s: all nodes reachable from s
- In an undirected graph, a BFS spanning tree gives the shortest path from s to every other vertex in its component
- (We will revisit shortest path in a couple of lectures)
- BFS trees in general are short and thick

BFS Application: Connectivity

- How to whether a graph is connected using traversals?
 - If the BFS spanning tree contains all nodes of the graph, then the graph is connected
- Suppose the graph is not connected
- How can we find all connected components?
 - Start BFS with any node *s*, when its done, all nodes in the BFS tree of *s* are one component
 - Pick another node that is not visited and repeat
 - Number of trees in resulting **forest** is the number of components of the graph

BFS Application: Bipartite Testing

• Bipartite graph.

- An undirected graph is **bipartite** if its nodes can be portioned into two sets S_1, S_2 such that all edges have endpoint in both sets
- Models many settings
 - We already encountered an application, which is...?
 - Common in scheduling, one set is machine, other set is jobs



a bipartite graph

BFS Application: Bipartite Testing

- Given a graph G = (V, E) verify if it is bipartite
- Hint: need to use traversals
- But first need to understand structure of bipartite graphs
- **Question:** Can a bipartite graph contain an odd-length cycle?
- How do we prove this?
- In fact, a graph is bipartite if and only if it does not have an odd length cycle
- Let's prove this!



a bipartite graph

Theorem. The following statements are **equivalent** for a connected graph G :

- (a) G is bipartite
- (b) G has no odd-length cycle
- (c) No BFS tree has edges (in G) between vertices at same level
- (d) Some BFS tree has no edges (in G) between 2 vertices at same level

Note: Conditions (a) and (b) seem hard to check directly; but conditions (c) and (d) allow an easy check!

Theorem. The following statements are equivalent for a connected graph G :

- (a) G is bipartite
- (b) G has no odd-length cycle
- (c) No BFS tree has edges between vertices at same level
- (d) Some BFS tree has no edges between 2 vertices at same level

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b)

Vertices must alternate between V_1 and V_2 .

Theorem. The following statements are equivalent for a connected graph G :

- (a) G is bipartite
- (b) G has no odd-length cycle
- (c) No BFS tree has edges between vertices at same level
- (d) Some BFS tree has no edges between 2 vertices at same level

Proof. (b) \Rightarrow (c)

Contradiction: Such an edge implies an odd cycle

Theorem. The following statements are equivalent for a connected graph G :

- (a) G is bipartite
- (b) G has no odd-length cycle
- (c) No BFS tree has edges between vertices at same level
- (d) Some BFS tree has no edges between 2 vertices at same level

Proof. (c) \Rightarrow (d)

If all BFS trees have a property then some do as well

Theorem. The following statements are equivalent for a connected graph G :

- (a) G is bipartite
- (b) G has no odd-length cycle
- (c) No BFS tree has edges between vertices at same level
- (d) Some BFS tree has no edges between 2 vertices at same level

Proof. (d) \Rightarrow (a)

Edges must span consecutive levels: levels provide bipartition of G

Implications of the Theorem

How to check if a graph is bipartite?

- When we visit an edge during BFS, we know the level of both of its endpoints
- So if both ends have the same level, then we can stop ! (G is not bipartite)
- If no such edge is found during traversal, G is bipartite
- Alternate levels give the bipartition

Running time?

- Still O(n+m)
- **Certificate.** If G is not bipartite this algorithm gives us a proof of it (the odd cycle that is found)!

Depth-First Search and Directed Graphs

Story So Far

- Breadth-first search
- Using breadth-first search for connectivity
- Using bread-first search for testing bipartiteness

```
BFS (G, s):
Put s in the queue Q
While Q is not empty
Extract v from Q
If v is unmarked
Mark v
For each edge (v, w):
Put w into the queue Q
```

Generalizing BFS: Whatever-First

If we change how we store the explored vertices (the data structure we use), it changes how we traverse

```
Whatever-First-Search (G, s):
```

Put s in the bag

While **bag** is not empty

Extract v from bag

If v is unmarked

Mark v

For each edge (v, w):

Put w into the bag

We can optimize this algorithm by checking whether the node *w* is marked before we place it the bag.

Depth-first search: when bag is a stack, not queue

Depth-First Search: Recursive

- Perhaps the most natural traversal algorithm
- Can be written **recursively** as well
- Both versions are the same; can actually see the "recursion stack" in the iterative version

```
Recursive-DFS(u):
   Set status of u to marked # discovered u
   for each edges (u, v):
        if v's status is unmarked:
            DFS(v)
   # done exploring neighbors of u
```

Depth-first Search Example



DFS Running Time

- Inserts and extracts to a stack: O(1) time
- For every node v, explore degree(v) edges

$$\sum_{v} \text{degree(v)} = 2m$$

• Connected graphs have $m \ge n - 1$ and thus is O(m) and for general graphs, it is O(n + m)

ITERATIVEDFS(s):PUSH(s)while the stack is not empty
$$v \leftarrow POP$$
if v is unmarkedmark v for each edge vw PUSH(w)

Depth-First Search Tree

• DFS returns a spanning tree, similar to BFS

```
DFS-Tree(G, s):
Put (Ø, s) in the stack S
While S is not empty
Extract (p, v) from S
If v is unmarked
Mark v
parent(v) = p
For each edge (v, w):
Put (v, w) into the stack S
```

• The spanning tree formed by parent edges in a DFS are usually long and skinny

Depth-First Search Tree

Lemma. For every edge e = (u, v) in G, one of u or v is an ancestor of the other in T.

Proof. Obvious if edge e is in T.

Suppose edge e is not in T. Without loss of generality, suppose DFS is called on u before v.

- When the edge u, v is inspected v must have been already marked visited (why?)
 - Or else $(u, v) \in T$ and we assumed otherwise
- Since $(u, v) \notin T$, v is not marked visited during the DFS call on u
- Must have been marked during a recursive call within DFS(u)
 - Thus v is a descendant of u

Detecting Cycles

Question. Given an undirected connected graph G, how can you detect (in linear time) that contains a cycle?

[Hint. Use DFS]



cycle C = 1 - 2 - 4 - 5 - 3 - 1

Detecting Cycles

Question. Given an undirected connected graph G, how can you detect (in linear time) that contains a cycle?

Idea. When we encounter a back edge (u, v) during DFS, that edge is necessarily part of a cycle (cycle formed by following tree edges from u to v and then the back edge from v to u).

Cycle-Detection-DFS(u):
 Set status of u to marked # discovered u
 for each edges (u, v):
 if v's status is unmarked:
 DFS(v)
 else # found an edge to a marked node
 found a back edge, report a cycle!
 # done exploring neighbors of u

Directed Graphs

Notation. G = (V, E).

- Edges have "orientation"
- Edge (u, v) or sometimes denoted $u \rightarrow v$, leaves node u and enters node v
- Nodes have "in-degree" and "out-degree"
- No loops or multi-edges (why?)

Terminology of graphs extend to directed graphs: directed paths, cycles, etc.



Directed Graphs in Practice

Web graph:

- Webpages are nodes, hyperlinks are edges
- Orientation of edges is crucial
- Search engines use hyperlink structure to rank web pages

Road network

- Road: nodes
- Edge: one-way street



Strong Connectivity & Reachability

Directed reachability. Given a node *s* find all nodes reachable from *s*.

- Can use both BFS and DFS. Both visit exactly the set of nodes reachable from start node *s*.
- Strong connectivity. Connected components in directed graphs defined based on mutual reachability. Two vertices *u*, *v* in a directed graph *G* are mutually reachable if there is a directed path from *u* to *v* and from from *v* to *u*. A graph *G* is strongly connected if every pair of vertices are mutually reachable
- The mutual reachability relation decomposes the graph into strongly-connected components
- Strongly-connected components. For each $v \in V$, the set of vertices mutually reachable from v, defines the strongly-connected component of G containing v.

Strongly Connected Components



Deciding Strongly Connected

First idea. How can we use BFS/DFS to determine strong connectivity? Recall: BFS/DFS on graph G starting at v will identifies all vertices reachable from v by directed paths

- Pick a vertex v. Check to see whether every other vertex is reachable from v;
- Now see whether v is reachable from every other vertex

Analysis

- First step: one call to BFS: O(n + m) time
- Second step: n 1 calls to BFS: O(n(n + m)) time
- Can we do better?

Testing Strong Connectivity

Idea. Flip the edges of G and do a BFS on the new graph

- Build $G_{\text{rev}} = (V, E_{\text{rev}})$ where $(u, v) \in E_{\text{rev}}$ iff $(v, u) \in E$
- There is a directed path from v to u in $G_{\rm rev}$ iff there is a directed path from u to v in G
- Call $BFS(G_{rev}, v)$: Every vertex is reachable from v (in G_{rev}) if and only if v is reachable from every vertex (in G).

Analysis (Performance)

- BFS(G, v): O(n + m) time
- Build G_{rev} : O(n + m) time. [Do you believe this?]
- $BFS(G_{rev}, v)$: O(n + m) time
- Overall, linear time algorithm!

Kosaraju's Algorithm

Testing Strong Connectivity

Idea. Flip the edges of G and do a BFS on the new graph

- Build $G_{\text{rev}} = (V, E_{\text{rev}})$ where $(u, v) \in E_{\text{rev}}$ iff $(v, u) \in E$
- There is a directed path from v to u in $G_{\rm rev}$ iff there is a directed path from u to v in G
- Call BFS(G_{rev}, v): Every vertex is reachable from v (in G_{rev}) if and only if v is reachable from every vertex (in G).

Analysis (Correctness)

- **Claim.** If v is reachable from every node in G and every node in G is reachable from v then G must be strongly connected
- **Proof.** For any two nodes $x, y \in V$, they are mutually reachable through v, that is, $x \prec v \prec y$ and $y \prec v \prec z$

Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)

Definition. A directed graph is acyclic (or a DAG) if it contains no (directed) cycles.

Question. Given a directed graph G, can you detect if it has a cycle in linear time? Can we apply the same strategy (DFS) as we did for undirected graphs?



Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)

Definition. A directed graph is acyclic (or a DAG) if it contains no (directed) cycles.

Question. Given a directed graph G, can you detect if it has a cycle in linear time? Can we apply the same strategy (DFS) as we did for undirected graphs?



Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)

Definition. A directed graph is acyclic (or a DAG) if it contains no (directed) cycles.

Question. Given a directed graph G, can you detect if it has a cycle in linear time? Can we apply the same strategy (DFS) as we did for undirected graphs?

```
Cycle-Detection-Directed-DFS(u):
   Set status of u to marked # discovered u
   for each edges (u, v):
        if v's status is unmarked:
        DFS(v)
        else if v is marked but not finished
        report a cycle!
   mark u finished
   # done exploring neighbors of u
```