# Sorting: Selection Sort and Insertion Sort

Instructors: Sam McCauley and Dan Barowy March 7, 2022

### Admin

• Any questions?

## Sorting

• Goal: sequence of steps



- Goal: sequence of steps
- Guarantee that the cards are sorted at the end



- Goal: sequence of steps
- Guarantee that the cards are sorted at the end
- We want to be able to:



- Goal: sequence of steps
- Guarantee that the cards are sorted at the end
- We want to be able to:
  - Code it up in Java



- Goal: sequence of steps
- Guarantee that the cards are sorted at the end
- We want to be able to:
  - Code it up in Java
  - Analyze the running time





10	21	-3	40	17	13	11	-4
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

• Have an array of numbers



- Have an array of numbers
- Want to sort them *in place* (without copying to a new array)



10	21	-3	40	17	13	11	-4
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

- Have an array of numbers
- Want to sort them *in place* (without copying to a new array)
  - In other words: sort them using O(1) extra space.



- Have an array of numbers
- Want to sort them *in place* (without copying to a new array)
  - In other words: sort them using O(1) extra space.

	-3	-4	10	11	13	17	21	40
1								

• Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - *O*(*n*)
- Swap that number with the last number

Maximum so far:

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

- Is there any number we can put directly in the correct place?
- We can put the largest number in the last slot
- Scan through the array to find the maximum number
  - Time?
  - O(n)
- Swap that number with the last number

• Do it again! But now on all but the last element of the array

• Do it again! But now on all but the last element of the array

• (This is essentially a recursive algorithm)



10	21 -:	3 -4	17	13	11	40
----	-------	------	----	----	----	----

10	21	-3	-4	17	13	11	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

10 21	-3 -4	17 13	11	40
-------	-------	-------	----	----

10	21	-3	-4	17	13	11	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

10 21 -:	-4	17 1	3 11	40
----------	----	------	------	----

10	21	-3	-4	17	13	11	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
10 11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40	
-------	----	----	----	----	----	----	
-------	----	----	----	----	----	----	

Maximum so far: 10 at pos 0

10	11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 11 at pos 1

10	11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 11 at pos 1

10	11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 11 at pos 1

10	11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 17 at pos 4

10 1	11 -3	-4	17	13	21	40
------	-------	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 17 at pos 4

10	11	-3	-4	17	13	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Maximum so far: 17 at pos 4

-3	-4	10	11	13	17	21	40
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

• We'll do loops, not recursion

• We'll do loops, not recursion

• Let's assume we have a swap(int[], int, int) method that swaps two
indices of an array

```
public static void selectionSort(int data[], int n) {
  int numUnsorted = n;
  int index; // general index
  int max; // index of largest value
  while (numUnsorted > 0) {
     // determine maximum value in array
     max = 0;
     for (index = 1; index < numUnsorted; index++) {</pre>
        if (data[max] < data[index]) max = index;</pre>
     }
     swap(data,max,numUnsorted-1);
     numUnsorted--;
  }
}
```

• Why does it work?



- Why does it work?
- Idea: after the loop iterates *i* times,
  - The last *i* slots of the array contain the *i* largest elements of the array in sorted order



- Why does it work?
- Idea: after the loop iterates *i* times,
  - The last *i* slots of the array contain the *i* largest elements of the array in solution order
- When i = n we are done



- Why does it work?
- Idea: after the loop iterates *i* times,
  - The last *i* slots of the array contain the *i* largest elements of the array in solution order
- When i = n we are done
- Prove using induction. (Kind of like recursive algorithms.)



### Proving Correctness by Induction

To show: for all  $k \le n$ , after the loop iterates k times, the last k slots of the array contain the k largest elements of the array in sorted order.

To show: for all  $k \le n$ , after the loop iterates k times, the last k slots of the array contain the k largest elements of the array in sorted order.

• Base case: k = 0. Already satisfied

To show: for all  $k \le n$ , after the loop iterates k times, the last k slots of the array contain the k largest elements of the array in sorted order.

- Base case: k = 0. Already satisfied
- Inductive hypothesis: for some *k*, after the loop iterates *k* times, the last *k* slots of the array contain the *k* largest elements of the array in sorted order.

To show: for all  $k \le n$ , after the loop iterates k times, the last k slots of the array contain the k largest elements of the array in sorted order.

- Base case: k = 0. Already satisfied
- Inductive hypothesis: for some *k*, after the loop iterates *k* times, the last *k* slots of the array contain the *k* largest elements of the array in sorted order.
- Inductive step: by the inductive hypothesis, after the *k*th iteration of the outer loop, the last *k* slots of the array contain the *k* largest array items in sorted order. We scan through the array and find the largest element excluding the last *k* slots; this is the k + 1st largest item. The swap moves it into the k + 1st slot from the end of the array.

• Fill up the array from right to left with largest element

- Fill up the array from right to left with largest element
- How long does finding the maximum take?

- Fill up the array from right to left with largest element
- How long does finding the maximum take?
  - O(n i + 1) time for the *i*th loop

- Fill up the array from right to left with largest element
- How long does finding the maximum take?
  - O(n i + 1) time for the *i*th loop
- Summing:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} O(n-i+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} O(j) = O(n^2)$

• Similar to Selection Sort

• Similar to Selection Sort

• Significantly more efficient in practice (we'll come back to this)

• Similar to Selection Sort

• Significantly more efficient in practice (we'll come back to this)

• This time we'll start with why it works, and derive the algorithm

• A different approach to sorting

- A different approach to sorting
- After the *k*th loop, the first *k* items in the array are sorted
  - The first *k* items may not be the smallest—but they are in sorted order

- A different approach to sorting
- After the *k*th loop, the first *k* items in the array are sorted
  - The first *k* items may not be the smallest—but they are in sorted order
- How can we guarantee this for k = 1?

- A different approach to sorting
- After the *k*th loop, the first *k* items in the array are sorted
  - The first *k* items may not be the smallest—but they are in sorted order
- How can we guarantee this for k = 1?
  - Don't need to do anything

- A different approach to sorting
- After the *k*th loop, the first *k* items in the array are sorted
  - The first *k* items may not be the smallest—but they are in sorted order
- How can we guarantee this for k = 1?
  - Don't need to do anything
- Let's say it works for *k*. What does the *k* + 1st loop need to accomplish to maintain the invariant?

- A different approach to sorting
- After the *k*th loop, the first *k* items in the array are sorted
  - The first *k* items may not be the smallest—but they are in sorted order
- How can we guarantee this for k = 1?
  - Don't need to do anything
- Let's say it works for *k*. What does the *k* + 1st loop need to accomplish to maintain the invariant?

• Needs to insert the k + 1st item among the first k items in sorted order.

# A Beautiful Way to Accomplish This

• Want to take the new item and move it into sorted position

## A Beautiful Way to Accomplish This

- Want to take the new item and move it into sorted position
- Idea: need to move it down until the previous element is smaller

## A Beautiful Way to Accomplish This

- Want to take the new item and move it into sorted position
- Idea: need to move it down until the previous element is smaller
- Inner loop: store element we are trying to insert. Shift elements down while it is smaller.
#### Insertion Sort Code

```
public static void insertionSort(int data[], int n) {
  int numSorted = 1; // number of values in place
  int index; // general index
  while (numSorted < n) {
     int temp = data[numSorted]; // first unsorted value
     for (index = numSorted; index > 0; index--) {
        if (temp < data[index-1]) {</pre>
           data[index] = data[index-1];
        } else {
           break;
        }
     }
     data[index] = temp; // reinsert value
     numSorted++;
  }
```

#### Insertion Sort Code

```
public static void insertionSort(int data[], int n) {
  int numSorted = 1; // number of values in place
  int index; // general index
  while (numSorted < n) {
     int temp = data[numSorted]; // first unsorted value
     for (index :
                    Can we get rid of the break command in this
        if (temp
                                      code?
           data[inuen] - uavalinuen i],
        } else {
           break;
        }
     }
     data[index] = temp; // reinsert value
     numSorted++;
  }
}
```

```
public static void insertionSort(int data[], int n) {
   int numSorted = 1; // number of values in place
   while (numSorted < n) {</pre>
     int temp = data[numSorted]; // first unsorted value
     int index = numSorted;
     while(index > 0 && temp < data[index - 1]) {</pre>
        data[index] = data[index-1];
        index--;
     }
     data[index] = temp; // reinsert value
     numSorted++;
   }
```

• No swap method needed

- No swap method needed
- Code is a little shorter

- No swap method needed
- Code is a little shorter
- Efficiency?
  - Both take *n* iterations of the outer loop. What about the inner loop?
  - Selection sort *always* iterates through n i elements on the *i*th iteration
  - Insertion sort may stop early! Can lead to better performance in practice (and is never worse)

- No swap method needed
- Code is a little shorter
- Efficiency?
  - Both take *n* iterations of the outer loop. What about the inner loop?
  - Selection sort *always* iterates through n i elements on the *i*th iteration
  - Insertion sort may stop early! Can lead to better performance in practice (and is never worse)
- To be clear: both are still  $O(n^2)$  in terms of worst-case performance. Insertion sort just has better constants, and better best-case performance

# **Sorting Objects**

• Reminder: we interact with objects using methods

- Reminder: we interact with objects using methods
- What methods do we need in order to sort objects?

- Reminder: we interact with objects using methods
- What methods do we need in order to sort objects?
  - Need to be able to determine if one item is less than another

- Reminder: we interact with objects using methods
- What methods do we need in order to sort objects?
  - Need to be able to determine if one item is less than another
- Two ways that this may work. Both are good depending on use case.

- Reminder: we interact with objects using methods
- What methods do we need in order to sort objects?
  - Need to be able to determine if one item is less than another
- Two ways that this may work. Both are good depending on use case.
  - First: *only* sort objects of a type with a compareTo() method, allowing two objects of that type to be compared

- Reminder: we interact with objects using methods
- What methods do we need in order to sort objects?
  - Need to be able to determine if one item is less than another
- Two ways that this may work. Both are good depending on use case.
  - First: *only* sort objects of a type with a compareTo() method, allowing two objects of that type to be compared
  - Second: create a *new method* that allows us to compare the objects

• Let's add a compareTo() method to Student

- Let's add a compareTo() method to Student
- This method compares the name of this student

- Let's add a compareTo() method to Student
- This method compares the name of this student
- How does this choice affect what a sorted vector looks like?

- Let's add a compareTo() method to Student
- This method compares the name of this student
- How does this choice affect what a sorted vector looks like?
- Let's try sorting Students with a compareTo method

• We never used the fact that this is a vector of students (other than the compareTo() method

- We never used the fact that this is a vector of students (other than the compareTo() method
- What kind of types can we sort?

- We never used the fact that this is a vector of students (other than the compareTo() method
- What kind of types can we sort?
- We want this class to have a compareTo() method. How can we require this?

- We never used the fact that this is a vector of students (other than the compareTo() method
- What kind of types can we sort?
- We want this class to have a compareTo() method. How can we require this?
- With an interface!

• This is a Java interface, *not structure5*. (Built-in; don't need to import anything.)

• This is a Java interface, *not structure5*. (Built-in; don't need to import anything.)

• Comparable<T> has only one method: public int compareTo(T other)

• This is a Java interface, *not structure5*. (Built-in; don't need to import anything.)

• Comparable<T> has only one method: public int compareTo(T other)

• Let's tell Java that our Student class implements this interface

• We can make the InsertionSort class generic, but that seems a bit nonspecific.

- We can make the InsertionSort class generic, but that seems a bit nonspecific.
- Really: want to make one method generic. Can we do this in Java?

- We can make the InsertionSort class generic, but that seems a bit nonspecific.
- Really: want to make one method generic. Can we do this in Java?
- Yes! Looks something like this:
  - public static void <E> insertionSort(Vector<E> vec)

- We can make the InsertionSort class generic, but that seems a bit nonspecific.
- Really: want to make one method generic. Can we do this in Java?
- Yes! Looks something like this:
  - public static void <E> insertionSort(Vector<E> vec)
- Problem: can't use any E. Needs to be comparable with other objects of type E

• Way to tell Java that a generic type needs to meet certain requirements

- Way to tell Java that a generic type needs to meet certain requirements
- That way, at compile time, Java can make sure our types match up

- Way to tell Java that a generic type needs to meet certain requirements
- That way, at compile time, Java can make sure our types match up
- These are called *upper bounds*

- Way to tell Java that a generic type needs to meet certain requirements
- That way, at compile time, Java can make sure our types match up
- These are called *upper bounds*
- Let's say we only want to accept objects that meet the requirements of the List interface. Rather than <E>, we write something like <E extends List>
  - (Yes, it's extends and not implements. There are some good back-end reasons for this.)

- Way to tell Java that a generic type needs to meet certain requirements
- That way, at compile time, Java can make sure our types match up
- These are called *upper bounds*
- Let's say we only want to accept objects that meet the requirements of the List interface. Rather than <E>, we write something like <E extends List>
  - (Yes, it's extends and not implements. There are some good back-end reasons for this.)
- What do we want for our insertionSort method?
  - Want <E extends Comparable<E>>
  - That is to say: we want a type E that implements Comparable<E>. That is to say: need that objects of type E have a compareTo method that takes objects of type E as argument

• Can sort any object so long as it implements Comparable<E>

- Can sort any object so long as it implements Comparable<E>
- What are the downsides of this?
- Can sort any object so long as it implements Comparable<E>
- What are the downsides of this?
  - What if we want to sort objects that aren't already comparable and we don't want to modify the class?

- Can sort any object so long as it implements Comparable<E>
- What are the downsides of this?
  - What if we want to sort objects that aren't already comparable and we don't want to modify the class?
  - Can only sort objects one way. (What if we want to sort Students by grade? Would need to rewrite the Student class!

- Can sort any object so long as it implements Comparable<E>
- What are the downsides of this?
  - What if we want to sort objects that aren't already comparable and we don't want to modify the class?
  - Can only sort objects one way. (What if we want to sort Students by grade? Would need to rewrite the Student class!
- There are upsides as well; we'll come back to this after we talk about Comparators