# CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Mathematical Induction

For best results: Review the materials discussing recursion!

## **Recursive Contains**

```
Recall our recursive contains method for a Singly-Linked List
// Pre: value is not null
public static boolean contains(Node<String> n, String v) {
    if( n == null ) return false;
    return v.equals(n.value()) || contains(n.next(), v);
}
```

How could we convince ourselves it's correct?

- Does it work on an empty list? [n is null]
- Does it work on a list of size I? [n.next() is null]
- Does it work on a list of size 2? [n.next() is a list of size I]
   Key Observation:
- Assuming that contains works on <u>all lists of size n</u>, (for <u>any  $n \ge 0$ </u>)
- Allows us to conclude that it works for <u>all lists of size n+1</u> !
- And since contains works on all lists of size 0...It always works!

- The mathematical sibling of recursion is induction
- Induction is a proof technique
- Reflects the structure of the natural numbers
- Used to simultaneously prove an infinite number of theorems! For example:
  - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size o
  - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size |
  - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size 2
  - • • •

Let's make this notion formal and precise

Given: Boolean statements  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_n$ , .... That is

- Each statement P<sub>i</sub> is either true or false (boolean)
- There is a statement  $P_n$  for each integer  $n \ge 0$
- We would like to prove that each statement is true. We do this by
- Directly showing that P<sub>0</sub> is true
- Then showing that whenever  $P_n$  is true for some  $n \ge 0$ , then  $P_{n+1}$  is also true

We can then conclude that all of the statements are true!

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , ... Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that

I.  $P_0$  is true, and

2. For every  $n \ge 0$ , if  $P_n$  is true, then  $P_{n+1}$  is true

Then all of the statements are true!

#### Notes

• Often Property 2 is stated as

2. For every n > 0, if  $P_{n-1}$  is true, then  $P_n$  is true

• We call Step I Verifying the base case(s) and Step 2 verifying the induction step (or the induction hypothesis)

• Example: Prove that for every  $n \ge 0$ 

$$P_n: 0+1+...+n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

- Proof by induction:
  - Base case:  $P_n$  is true for n = 0 (just check it!)
  - Induction step: If  $P_n$  is true for some  $n \ge 0$ , then  $P_{n+1}$  is true.

 $P_{n+1}: 0+1+\ldots+n+(n+1) = \frac{(n+1)\big((n+1)+1\big)}{2} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ 

ls  $P_{n+1}$  true? Check:  $(0+1+...+n)+(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ 

First equality holds by assumed truth of P<sub>n</sub>!

#### An Aside: Summation Notation

A sum of the form  $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ is frequently shortened to



Using this notation, the induction step of our previous proof would look like

• Induction step: If  $P_n$  is true for some  $n \ge 0$ , then  $P_{n+1}$  is true.  $P_{n+1}: \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ 

Is 
$$P_{n+1}$$
 true?

Check:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\right)}_{i=0}^{n+1} (n+1) = \underbrace{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}_{2}^{n+1} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

The second equality holds by assumed truth of  $P_n$ !

**Prove:** 
$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Proof: Using summation notation

• Base case: n = 0

• LHS: 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{0} 2^{i} = 2^{0} = 1$$

• RHS: 
$$2^{0+1} - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$$

• Induction Step: Show that, for  $n \ge 0$ , whenever

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

• Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1$$

Continued: Prove 
$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Induction Step: Show that, for  $n \ge 0$ , whenever  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 1$ 

Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1 = 2^{n+2} - 1$$

Well,  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^{i} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i}\right) + 2^{n+1} = \left(2^{n+1} - 1\right) + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} - 1$$

Prove:  $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2$ 

Note: This starts at n=1, not n=0. Is this a problem?

- No.We just
  - Make our base case n=1, and
  - Show that whenever the property holds for some n≥l then it holds for n+l

Base Case: n = I

LHS:  $1^3 = 1$  and RHS:  $1^2 = 1$  Induction step: Assume that for some  $n \ge 1$ 

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2$$

Now show that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + (n+1)^3 = (1+2+\dots+(n+1))^2$$

IS:  $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + (n+1)^3 = (1+2+\dots+(n+1))^2$ 

$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + \dots + (n + 1)^{3} = \underbrace{(1^{3} + 2^{3} + \dots + n^{3})}_{=} + (n + 1)^{3}$$
  
Induction  

$$= \underbrace{(1 + 2 + \dots + n)^{2}}_{=} + (n + 1)^{3}$$
  

$$= \underbrace{(n(n + 1))^{2}}_{2} + (n + 1)^{3}$$
  

$$= (n + 1)^{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} + (n + 1)}_{4}$$
  

$$= (n + 1)^{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{n^{2} + 4n + 4}{4}\right)}_{4}$$
  

$$= \underbrace{(n + 1)^{2}(n + 2)^{2}}_{4}$$
  

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2}\right)^{2}}_{2}$$
  

$$= (1 + 2 + \dots + (n + 1))^{2} \checkmark$$

12

#### What about Recursion?

- What does induction have to do with recursion?
  - Same form!
    - Base case
    - Inductive case that uses simpler form of problem

## **Example : Factorial**

```
public static int fact(int n) {
    if (n==0) return 1;
    else return n*fact(n-1);
}
```

#### Example: factorial

- Prove that fact(n) requires n multiplications
  - Base case: n = 0 returns I, using 0 multiplications
  - Assume true for some  $n \ge 0$ , so fact(n) requires n multiplications.
  - fact(n+1) performs one multiplication (n+1)\*fact(n). But, by induction, fact(n) requires n multiplications. Therefore fact(n) requires 1+n multiplications.

#### **Recursive Contains**

#### Recall again our recursive contains method for a Singly-Linked List

```
// Pre: value is not null
public static boolean contains(Node<String> anode, String v) {
    if( aNode == null ) return false;
    return v.equals(aNode.value()) || contains(aNode.next(), v);
}
```

}

Claim: contains works correctly for any list of size  $n \geq 0$ 

- Base Case: n=0 [aNode is null]
  - The <code>if</code> statement immediately returns <code>false</code>—the correct answer  $\checkmark$
- Induction step
  - Suppose contains works correctly on all lists of size n, for some  $n \ge 0$ .
  - Show that it works correctly on all lists of size n+1
- Proof: If  $n \ge 0$ , then  $n+1 \ge 1$ , so the first call to contains will execute the final line of the method.
  - If v.equals(aNode.value() is true, then correct result is returned
  - Otherwise, contains is called on a list of size n, which by assumption returns the correct result (our *induction hypothesis*)

# **Counting Method Calls**

- Example: Fibonacci
  - Prove that fib(n) makes at least fib(n) calls to fib()
    - Base cases: n = 0: | call; n = 1; | call
    - Assume that for some  $n \ge 2$ , fib(n-1) makes at least fib(n-1) calls to fib() and fib(n-2) makes at least fib(n-2) calls to fib().
    - Claim: Then fib(n) makes at least fib(n) calls to fib()
      - I initial call: fib(n)
      - By induction: At least fib(n-1) calls for fib(n-1)
      - And as least fib(n-2) calls for fib(n-2)
      - Total: I + fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) > fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) = fib(n) calls



- Note: Need two base cases!
- Aside: Can show by induction that for n > 10: fib(n) > (1.5)<sup>n</sup>
  - Thus the number of calls grows exponentially!
  - Verifying our empirical observation that computing fib(45) was slow!

## Mathematical Induction : Version 2

#### Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

- Let  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , ... be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that
  - I.  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  are true, and
  - 2. For all  $n \ge 2$ , if  $P_{n-1}$  and  $P_{n-2}$  are true, then so is  $P_n$ .

Then all of the statements are true!

Other versions:

- Can have k > 2 base cases
- Doesn't need to start at 0

## **Example: Binary Search**

- Given an array a[] of positive integers in increasing order, and an integer x, find location of x in a[].
  - Take "indexOf" approach: return -1 if x is not in a[]

# Binary Search takes O(log n) Time

Can we use induction to prove this?

- Induction on size of slice : n = high low + 1
- Claim: If n > 0, then recBinSrch performs at most c (I + log n) operations
  - where c is *twice* the number of statements in recBinSrch
    - All logs are base 2 unless specified differently
    - Recall : log I = 0
- Base case: n = I: Then low = high so only c statements execute (method runs twice) and c ≤ c(I+log I) ✓
- Assume that claim holds for some n ≥ 1, does it hold for n+1? [Note: n+1 > 1, so low < high]</li>
- Problem: Recursive call is *not* on n : it's on n/2.
- Solution: We need a better version of the PMI....

#### Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , ... be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that, for some  $k \ge 0$ 

I.  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_k$  are true, and

2. For <u>every</u>  $n \ge k$ , if  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_n$  are true, then  $P_{n+1}$  is true

Then *all* of the statements are true!

# Binary Search takes O(log n) Time

Try again now:

- Assume that for some n ≥ 1, the claim holds for all i ≤ n, does claim hold for n+1?
- Yes! Either
  - x = a[mid], so a constant number of operations are performed, or
  - RecBinSearch is called on a sub-array of size n/2, and by induction, at most c(1 + log (n/2)) operations are performed.
    - This gives a total of at most c + c(1 + log(n/2)) operations
    - We want to show that this is at most c(1 + log(n+1))....

# Binary Search takes O(log n) Time

This gives a total of at most  $c + c\left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right)$  operations

- c statements in original call to recBinSrch, and
- $c\left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right)$  statements in recursive calls So

$$c + c\left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) = c + c\left(\log_2 2 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right)$$
$$= c + c\left(\log_2 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2}\right)$$
$$= c + c\log_2 n$$
$$= c(1 + \log_2 n)$$

which is what we wanted to show  $\checkmark$ 

# In Summary

- Two versions of the principle of mathematical induction
  - Strong: Given the truth of a fixed number of base cases  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_k$ , if we can show that for every  $n \ge k$ :
    - If  $P_1$ , ...,  $P_n$  are true, then  $P_{n+1}$  is true

Then all of the statements are true

- Weak: Given the truth of a fixed number of base cases P<sub>1</sub>, ..., P<sub>k</sub>, if we can show that for every n > k:
  - If the k statements  $P_{n-k}$ ,  $P_{n-(k-1)}$ , ...,  $P_{n-1}$  are true, then  $P_n$  is true Then all of the statements are true
  - That is, if for every n > k we can show that whenever the k statements immediately preceding statement  $P_n$  are true, then  $P_n$  is true
- Strong induction is needed when a problem is being decomposed into subproblems much smaller size