

CSCI 136

Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Mathematical Induction

Fall 2020

Instructors : Bill \rightarrow Bill + I

Mathematical Induction

For best results: Review the materials discussing recursion!

Recursive Contains

Recall our recursive contains method for a Singly-Linked List

```
// Pre: value is not null
public static boolean contains(Node<String> n, String v) {
    if( n == null ) return false;
    return v.equals(n.value()) || contains(n.next(), v);
}
```

How could we convince ourselves it's correct?

- Does it work on an empty list? [n is null]
- Does it work on a list of size 1? [n.next() is null]
- Does it work on a list of size 2? [n.next() is a list of size 1]

Key Observation:

- Assuming that contains works on all lists of size n, (for any $n \geq 0$)
- Allows us to *conclude* that it works for all lists of size $n+1$!
- And since contains works on all lists of size 0...It always works!

Mathematical Induction

- The mathematical sibling of recursion is induction
- Induction is a proof technique
- Reflects the structure of the natural numbers
- Used to simultaneously prove an infinite number of theorems! For example:
 - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size 0
 - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size 1
 - Contains functions correctly for all lists of size 2
 -

Mathematical Induction

Let's make this notion formal and precise

Given: Boolean statements $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n, \dots$. That is

- Each statement P_i is either true or false (boolean)
- There is a statement P_n for each integer $n \geq 0$

We would like to prove that each statement is true.

We do this by

- Directly showing that P_0 is true
- Then showing that *whenever* P_n is true for some $n \geq 0$, then P_{n+1} is also true

We can then conclude that all of the statements are true!

Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that

1. P_0 is true, and
2. For every $n \geq 0$, if P_n is true, then P_{n+1} is true

Then all of the statements are true!

Notes

- Often Property 2 is stated as
 2. For every $n > 0$, if P_{n-1} is true, then P_n is true
- We call Step 1 *Verifying the base case(s)* and Step 2 verifying the *induction step* (or the *induction hypothesis*)

Mathematical Induction

- Example: Prove that for every $n \geq 0$

$$P_n : 0 + 1 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

- Proof by induction:

- Base case: P_n is true for $n = 0$ (just check it!)
- Induction step: If P_n is true for some $n \geq 0$, then P_{n+1} is true.

$$P_{n+1}: 0 + 1 + \dots + n + (n + 1) = \frac{(n + 1)((n + 1) + 1)}{2} = \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2}$$

Is P_{n+1} true?

Check: $0 + 1 + \dots + n + (n + 1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n + 1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$

- First equality holds by assumed truth of P_n !

An Aside: Summation Notation

A sum of the form $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$
is frequently shortened to

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i$$

Using this notation, the induction step of our previous proof would look like

- Induction step: If P_n is true for some $n \geq 0$, then P_{n+1} is true.

$$P_{n+1}: \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

Is P_{n+1} true?

Check:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n i \right) + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

The second equality holds by assumed truth of P_n !

Prove: $2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$

Proof: Using summation notation

- Base case: $n = 0$
 - LHS: $\sum_{i=0}^0 2^i = 2^0 = 1$
 - RHS: $2^{0+1} - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$ ✓
- Induction Step: Show that, for $n \geq 0$, whenever

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

- Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1$$

Continued: Prove $2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^n = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$

Induction Step: Show that, for $n \geq 0$, whenever

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1 = 2^{n+2} - 1$$

Well,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i \right) + 2^{n+1} = (2^{n+1} - 1) + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} - 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Mathematical Induction

Prove: $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2$

Note: This starts at $n=1$, not $n=0$. Is this a problem?

- No. We just
 - Make our base case $n=1$, and
 - Show that whenever the property holds for some $n \geq 1$ then it holds for $n+1$

Base Case: $n = 1$

$$\text{LHS: } 1^3 = 1 \text{ and RHS: } 1^2 = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Induction step: Assume that for some $n \geq 1$

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2$$

Now show that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + (n + 1)^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + (n + 1))^2$$

$$\text{IS: } 1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + (n + 1)^3 = (1 + 2 + \dots + (n + 1))^2$$

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + (n + 1)^3 = (1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3) + (n + 1)^3$$

Induction 

$$= (1 + 2 + \dots + n)^2 + (n + 1)^3$$

$$= \left(\frac{n(n + 1)}{2} \right)^2 + (n + 1)^3$$

$$= (n + 1)^2 \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^2 + (n + 1) \right)$$

$$= (n + 1)^2 \left(\frac{n^2 + 4n + 4}{4} \right)$$

$$= \frac{(n + 1)^2 (n + 2)^2}{4}$$

$$= \left(\frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2} \right)^2$$

$$= (1 + 2 + \dots + (n + 1))^2$$



What about Recursion?

- What does induction have to do with recursion?
 - Same form!
 - Base case
 - Inductive case that uses simpler form of problem

Example : Factorial

```
public static int fact(int n) {  
    if (n==0) return 1;  
    else return n*fact(n-1);  
}
```

- Example: factorial
 - Prove that $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications
 - Base case: $n = 0$ returns 1, using 0 multiplications ✓
 - Assume true for some $n \geq 0$, so $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications.
 - $\text{fact}(n+1)$ performs one multiplication $(n+1) * \text{fact}(n)$. But, by induction, $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications. Therefore $\text{fact}(n)$ requires $1+n$ multiplications. ✓

Recursive Contains

Recall again our recursive contains method for a Singly-Linked List

```
// Pre: value is not null
public static boolean contains(Node<String> anode, String v) {
    if( anode == null ) return false;
    return v.equals(anode.value()) || contains(anode.next(), v);
}
```

Claim: contains works correctly for any list of size $n \geq 0$

- Base Case: $n=0$ [anode is null]
 - The if statement immediately returns false—the correct answer ✓
- Induction step
 - Suppose contains works correctly on all lists of size n , for some $n \geq 0$.
 - Show that it works correctly on all lists of size $n+1$
- Proof: If $n \geq 0$, then $n+1 \geq 1$, so the first call to contains will execute the final line of the method.
 - If $v.equals(anode.value())$ is true, then correct result is returned
 - Otherwise, contains is called on a list of size n , which by assumption returns the correct result (our *induction hypothesis*) ✓

Counting Method Calls

- Example: Fibonacci
 - Prove that $\text{fib}(n)$ makes at least $\text{fib}(n)$ calls to $\text{fib}()$
 - Base cases: $n = 0$: 1 call; $n = 1$: 1 call ✓
 - Assume that for some $n \geq 2$, $\text{fib}(n-1)$ makes at least $\text{fib}(n-1)$ calls to $\text{fib}()$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$ makes at least $\text{fib}(n-2)$ calls to $\text{fib}()$.
 - Claim: Then $\text{fib}(n)$ makes at least $\text{fib}(n)$ calls to $\text{fib}()$
 - 1 initial call: $\text{fib}(n)$
 - By induction: At least $\text{fib}(n-1)$ calls for $\text{fib}(n-1)$
 - And at least $\text{fib}(n-2)$ calls for $\text{fib}(n-2)$
 - Total: $1 + \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2) > \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2) = \text{fib}(n)$ calls ✓
 - Note: Need two base cases!
 - Aside: Can show by induction that for $n > 10$: $\text{fib}(n) > (1.5)^n$
 - Thus the number of calls grows exponentially!
 - Verifying our empirical observation that computing $\text{fib}(45)$ was slow!

Mathematical Induction : Version 2

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that

1. P_0 and P_1 are true, and
2. For all $n \geq 2$, if P_{n-1} and P_{n-2} are true, then so is P_n .

Then all of the statements are true!

Other versions:

- Can have $k > 2$ base cases
- Doesn't need to start at 0

Example: Binary Search

- Given an array `a[]` of positive integers in increasing order, and an integer `x`, find location of `x` in `a[]`.
 - Take “indexOf” approach: return `-1` if `x` is not in `a[]`

```
protected static int recBinSrch(int a[], int value,
                                int low, int high) {
    if (low > high) return -1;
    else {
        int mid = (low + high) / 2;           //mid index
        if (a[mid] == value) return mid;
        else if (a[mid] < value)              //look high!
            return recBinSarch(a, value, mid + 1, high);
        else                                  //look low!
            return recBinSarch(a, value, low, mid - 1);
    }
}
```

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Can we use induction to prove this?

- Induction on size of slice : $n = \text{high} - \text{low} + 1$
- Claim: If $n > 0$, then `recBinSrch` performs at most $c (1 + \log n)$ operations
 - where c is *twice* the number of statements in `recBinSrch`
 - All logs are base 2 unless specified differently
 - Recall : $\log 1 = 0$
- Base case: $n = 1$: Then $\text{low} = \text{high}$ so only c statements execute (method runs twice) and $c \leq c(1 + \log 1)$ ✓
- Assume that claim holds for some $n \geq 1$, does it hold for $n+1$?
[Note: $n+1 > 1$, so $\text{low} < \text{high}$]
- Problem: Recursive call is *not* on n : it's on $n/2$.
- Solution: We need a better version of the PMI....

Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that, for some $k \geq 0$

1. P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k are true, and
2. For every $n \geq k$, if P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n are true, then P_{n+1} is true

Then *all* of the statements are true!

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Try again now:

- Assume that for some $n \geq 1$, the claim holds *for all* $i \leq n$, does claim hold for $n+1$?
- Yes! Either
 - $x = a[\text{mid}]$, so a constant number of operations are performed, or
 - RecBinSearch is called on a sub-array of size $n/2$, and by induction, at most $c(1 + \log(n/2))$ operations are performed.
 - This gives a total of at most $c + c(1 + \log(n/2))$ operations
 - We want to show that this is at most $c(1 + \log(n))$

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

This gives a total of at most $c + c \left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right)$ operations

- c statements in original call to `recBinSrch`, and
- $c \left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right)$ statements in recursive calls

So

$$\begin{aligned}c + c \left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) &= c + c \left(\log_2 2 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) \\&= c + c \left(\log_2 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2}\right) \\&= c + c \log_2 n \\&= c(1 + \log_2 n)\end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show ✓

In Summary

- Two versions of the principle of mathematical induction
 - Strong: Given the truth of a *fixed number* of base cases P_1, \dots, P_k , if we can show that *for every* $n \geq k$:
 - If P_1, \dots, P_n are true, then P_{n+1} is trueThen all of the statements are true
 - Weak: Given the truth of a *fixed number* of base cases P_1, \dots, P_k , if we can show that *for every* $n > k$:
 - If the k statements $P_{n-k}, P_{n-(k-1)}, \dots, P_{n-1}$ are true, then P_n is trueThen all of the statements are true
 - That is, if *for every* $n > k$ we can show that whenever the k statements immediately preceding statement P_n are true, then P_n is true
- Strong induction is needed when a problem is being decomposed into subproblems much smaller size