## PageRank

## PageRank

How does Google decide which pages to return?
Produce two rankings for each page
Relevance ranking
Importance ranking
Use a weighted sum of these

## PageRank

Relevance Ranking

- Based on content of page
- Words, HTML markup, etc


## Importance

- Based on structure of the web graph

We'll discuss the Importance Ranking

## PageRank

Compute a measure $R(v)$ for every web page $v$

- $R(v)$ should reflect importance of pages that link to $v$



## Welcome to Page 2

You can read all about:

- Page 3
- Page 4



## Welcome to Page 3

You can read all about:

- Page 1


Welcome to Page 4
You can read all about:

- Page 1
- Page 3




## $C(1)=3$ <br> Out Degree <br> $C(2)=2$



## PageRank : Parameters

- Parameters of web graph G
- N \& L: Number of vertices \& edges in G
- $C(v)$ : out-degree of $v$ (number of links from $v$ )
- $R(v)$ : the rank of $v$ (to be computed)
- Big idea
- Google Juice = liquid rank


## PageRank : Google Juice

- Ranking as (fluid) flow in a network
- Each page shares its importance with pages it links to
- Page $u$ gives each neighbor $R(u) / C(u)$ of its importance
- So Each page gets importance from pages that link to it
- If $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\text {In }(v)}$ are pages linking to page $v$
- then $\left.R(v)=R\left(u_{1}\right) / C\left(u_{1}\right)+\ldots+R\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)\right) / C\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)$


## PageRank : Iterated Rankings

Goal: Find a ranking satisfying

$$
\left.R(v)=R\left(u_{1}\right) / C\left(u_{1}\right)+\ldots+R\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)\right) / C\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)
$$

The Algorithm:

- Find an initial ranking: For example, $R_{0}(v)=\operatorname{In}(v) / L$
- Let Google Juice flow to give new ranking

$$
\text { - } \left.\mathrm{R}_{1}(v)=\mathrm{R}_{0}\left(u_{1}\right) / C\left(u_{1}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{R}_{0}\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)\right) / C\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)
$$

- Repeat many times to get rankings $R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}, \ldots$
- Stop when $R_{n}$ is not much different from $R_{n-1}$


## Ranking Function




```
C ( 1 ) = 3 \quad C ( 2 ) = 2
```



|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.375 | 0.25 |

(2) $\mathrm{R}_{1}(1)=\mathrm{R}_{0}(3)+\mathrm{R}_{0}(4) / 2=0.375+.125=0.5$
(- $R_{1}(2)=R_{0}(1) / 3=0.08333$

- $\mathrm{R}_{1}(3)=\mathrm{Ro}_{0}(1) / 3+\mathrm{R}_{0}(2) / 2+\mathrm{R}_{0}(4) / 2=0.08333+0.0625+0.125=0.2708$
(- $\mathrm{R}_{1}(4)=\mathrm{R}_{0}(1) / 3+\mathrm{R}_{0}(2) / 2=0.08333+0.0625=0.14583$


## Computing Rank Functions $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}()$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.375 | 0.25 |
| $R_{1}$ | 0.5 | 0.083333 | 0.270833 | 0.145833 |
| $R_{2}$ | 0.34375 | 0.166667 | 0.28125 | 0.208333 |
| $R_{3}$ | 0.385417 | 0.114583 | 0.302083 | 0.197917 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{23}$ | 0.387097 | 0.129032 | 0.290323 | 0.193548 |
| $R_{24}$ | 0.387097 | 0.129032 | 0.290323 | 0.193548 |

## PageRank : Amazing Result

- On any reasonably structured graph, this method will converge!
- Reasonably structured
- For every pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ there is a directed path from $u$ to $v$ and one from $v$ to $u$. [ $G$ is strongly connected]
- Not all cycle-lengths are multiples of a common value $K>1$ [ $G$ is aperiodic]


$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(1)=R(3)+R(4) / 2 \\
& R(2)=R(1) / 3 \\
& R(3)=R(1) / 3+R(2) / 2+R(4) / 2 \\
& R(4)=R(1) / 3+R(2) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$



|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R_{0}$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 8$ | $3 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ |

Initial Ranking

| U |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 4 | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 |  |

$A_{v, u}=1 / C(u)$ if $u \rightarrow v$
$A_{v, u}=0$ otherwise

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1}(3)=R_{0}(1) / 3+R_{0}(2) / 2+R_{0}(4) / 2 \\
R_{1}(3)=R_{0}(1) * A_{3,1}+R_{0}(2) * A_{3,2}+R_{0}(3) * A_{3,3}+R_{0}(4) * A_{3,4}
\end{gathered}
$$




## PageRank as Linear Algebra

Rewrite equations

$$
\left.R(v)=R\left(u_{1}\right) / C\left(u_{1}\right)+\ldots+R\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)\right) / C\left(u_{\operatorname{In}(v)}\right)
$$

Vertices : $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Let $x_{i}=R\left(v_{i}\right)$ and let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ then

$$
x_{i}=x_{1} \cdot A[i, 1]+x_{2} \cdot A[i, 2]+\cdots+x_{n} \cdot A[i, n]
$$

So $X=A \cdot X$, a matrix equation for $n \times n$ matrix $A$

A solution exists when $A$ is invertible

## PageRank as Random Walk

- Think of Ro as a probability distribution
- Ro(v) : probability of starting at v (or)
- Ro(v) : probability of being at $v$ after 0 steps


## Random Walks on Graphs

- How can we interpret $R_{1}$ ?
- $R_{1}(3)=R_{0}(1) * A_{3,1}+R_{0}(2) * A_{3,2}+R_{0}(3) * A_{3,3}+R_{0}(4) * A_{3,4}$
- $R_{0}(j) * A_{i, j}=R_{0}(j) *(1 / C(j))$ (or 0$)$
- Probability we were at $j$ and then moved to $i$
- Assumes equal likelihood of taking any outgoing edge
- So $R_{1}(3)$ is the probability that we got to vertex 3 in 1 step!
- That is: $R_{1}(i)=$ probability of being at page $i$ after 1 click
- Assuming that the starting distribution was $\mathrm{R}_{0}$


## Random Walks on Graphs

- Similarly, $R_{i}(j)$ is the probability of being at page $j$ after exactly i clicks (given starting distribution $R_{0}$ )
- Rename $R_{i}()$ to be $\operatorname{Pr}_{i}()$ to emphasize this fact
- Let's try an example!


## Random Surfer


$\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{j})=$ prob. at page j after i clicks

## Random Surfer



## Random Surfer



## Random Surfer



## Random Surfer










|  | $P_{0}()$ | $P_{1}()$ | $P_{2}()$ | $P_{3}()$ | $P_{4}()$ | $P_{5}()$ | $P_{6}()$ | $P_{7}()$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.39 |
| 2 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| 4 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.19 |

## What is Happening?

- The distributions Pri() converge to a probability distribution Pros () $^{\text {( }}$
- And it's the same regardless of starting distribution Pro!
- $P_{r_{\infty}}()$ depends only on the structure of graph $G$
- How can we think about $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}()$ ?


## Understanding Pros()

- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}(v)$ is the probability of eventually being at vertex $v$ after some very long random walk through the web graph, starting from a randomly selected vertex
- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}(v)=\Sigma_{u} \operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}(u) / C(u)$ summing over all $u \rightarrow v$
- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}()$ is called an equilibrium distribution for $G$
- If $G$ is "properly structured", $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}()$ exists and is unique!


## Perron-Frobenius* Theorem

Let G be a strongly connected and aperiodic** directed graph and let $A_{v, u}$ be the probability of moving from vertex $u$ to vertex v. Then there is a probability distribution $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}$ such that

- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}(v)=\Sigma_{u} \operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}(u) * A_{v, u}$ summing over all $u \rightarrow v$
- $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}$ is the limit of $\operatorname{Pr}_{0}, \operatorname{Pr}_{1}, \operatorname{Pr}_{2}, \operatorname{Pr}_{3}, \ldots: A s n \rightarrow \infty, \operatorname{Pr}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}$
$P r_{\infty}$ is called the equilibrium distribution and it's unique given $A$

The fine print:
*This theorem describes a property of matrices. A $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem and so $A_{v, u}$ has the property, which implies the existence of $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}$.
${ }^{* *} G$ is $k$-periodic if the length of every cycle in $G$ is a multiple of $k>1$. If there is no such $k, G$ is aperiodic. We can assume that the web graph is aperiodic.

## What Could Go Wrong?

- The web graph is not strongly connected
- There are pages with no links (sink)
- There are groups of pages with no links leaving the group (connected component)




## Avoiding Traps

- The web graph is not strongly connected
- There are pages with no links (sink)
- There are groups of pages with no links leaving the group (connected component)
- What can we do?


## Avoiding Traps

## Random Walks : Jump!

- Adjust probabilities to allow for random page jumping
- Let $E(v)$ be a probability distribution
- Idea: $E(v)=$ probability that user randomly jumped to page $v$ from some other page


## Avoiding Traps

## Random Walks : Jump!

- $\operatorname{Pr}_{i+1}(v)=\Sigma_{u} \operatorname{Pr}(u) / C(u)($ for $u \rightarrow v)$ becomes
- $\operatorname{Pr}_{i+1}(v)=\delta E(v)+(1-\delta) \Sigma_{u} \operatorname{Pr}(u) / C(u)($ for $u \rightarrow v)$
- Why $\delta$ ? : Ensure $\operatorname{Pr}_{i+1}(v)$ forms a probability distribution (choose $\delta \ll 1$ )
- Same as replacing $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}}$ with $\delta \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{v})+(1-\delta) \cdot \mathrm{A}_{v, u}$
- Frobenius Theorem still holds : $\operatorname{Pr}_{\infty}()$ exists


## Avoiding Traps

## Random Walks : Jump!

- Essentially, we've added all missing edges to the web graph, but given these new edges tiny probabilities
- Probabilities of existing edges are also tweaked to ensure that we still have a probability distribution
- Now graph is strongly connected and aperiodic (because it's complete)
- The starting transition probabilities (matrix A) determine the equilibrium probabilities


## Summary \& Observations

- PageRank uses a combination of relevance and importance ranks
- Relevance based on page (vertex) contents
- Importance based on link structure (edges)
- Importance can be viewed as a probability distribution on the vertices (pages)

