

CSCI 136
Data Structures &
Advanced Programming

Lecture 8

Fall 2019

Instructors: B&S

Administrative Details

- Remember: First Problem Set is online
- Due at beginning of class on Friday
- Lab 3
 - You *may* work with a partner
 - Fill out the Google Form by 4 pm today (check email!)
 - Come to lab with a plan!
 - Answer questions before lab

Last Time

- Measuring Computational Complexity
- Introduction to Recursion

Today

- More Recursion
- Mathematical Induction (Weak)
- Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Mathematical Induction : Version 2

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false.

Suppose that

1. P_0 and P_1 are true, and
2. Whenever P_{n-1} and P_{n-2} are true, then so is P_n .

Then all of the statements are true!

Other versions:

- Can have $k > 2$ base cases
- Doesn't need to start at 0

Example: Binary Search

- Given an array `a[]` of positive integers in increasing order, and an integer `x`, find location of `x` in `a[]`.
 - Take “indexOf” approach: return -1 if `x` is not in `a[]`

```
protected static int recBinarySearch(int a[], int value,
                                     int low, int high) {
    if (low > high) return -1;
    else {
        int mid = (low + high) / 2;           //find midpoint
        if (a[mid] == value) return mid;     //first comparison
                                           //second comparison
        else if (a[mid] < value)             //search upper half
            return recBinarySearch(a, value, mid + 1, high);
        else                                  //search lower half
            return recBinarySearch(a, value, low, mid - 1);
    }
}
```

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Can we use induction to prove this?

- Claim: If $n = \text{high} - \text{low} + 1$, then `recBinSearch` performs at most $c(1 + \log n)$ operations, where c is *twice* the number of statements in `recBinSearch`
- Base case: $n = 1$: Then $\text{low} = \text{high}$ so only c statements execute (method runs twice) and $c \leq c(1 + \log 1)$
- Assume that claim holds for some $n \geq 1$, does it hold for $n+1$? [Note: $n+1 > 1$, so $\text{low} < \text{high}$]
- Problem: Recursive call is *not* on n ---it's on $n/2$.
- Solution: We need a better version of the PMI...

Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that, for some $k \geq 0$

1. $P(0), P(1), \dots, P(k)$ are true, and
2. Whenever $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n)$ are true, then so is $P(n+1)$.

Then all of the statements are true!

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Try again now:

- Assume that for some $n \geq 1$, the claim holds *for all* $k \leq n$, does claim hold for $n+1$?
- Yes! Either
 - $x = a[\text{mid}]$, so a constant number of operations are performed, or
 - RecBinSearch is called on a sub-array of size $n/2$, and by induction, at most $c(1 + \log(n/2))$ operations are performed.
 - This gives a total of at most $c + c(1 + \log(n/2)) = c + c(\log(2) + \log(n/2)) = c + c(\log n) = c(1 + \log n)$ statements

Longest Increasing Subsequence

- Given an array $a[]$ of positive integers, find the length of the largest subsequence of (not necessary consecutive) elements such that for any pair $a[i]$, $a[j]$ in the subsequence, if $i < j$, then $a[i] < a[j]$.
- Example 10 7 12 3 5 11 8 9 1 15 has 3 5 8 9 15 as its longest increasing subsequence (LIS), so the length is 5.
- How could we find the LIS length of $a[]$?
- How could we prove our method was correct?
- Let's think....

Longest Increasing Subsequence

- We'll assume all numbers are positive
- (Brilliant) Observation: A LIS for $a[1 \dots n]$ either contains $a[1]$... or it doesn't.
- Therefore, a LIS for $a[1 \dots n]$ either
 - Doesn't contain $a[1]$ and is just a LIS for $a[2 \dots n]$
 - Does contain $a[1]$, along with an LIS for $a[2 \dots n]$ such that every element in the LIS is $> a[1]$, or
- So the LIS length is either
 - Or the LIS length for $a[2..n]$
 - $1 +$ LIS length for $a[2..n]$ where every element in LIS is $> a[1]$
- So the problem to solve is: find the LISL for $a[]$ given that every element in LIS is at least some *threshold* value

Longest Increasing Subsequence

// Pre: curr < arr.length

// Post: returns length of LIS of arr[curr...] having all > threshold

```
public static int lisHelper(int[] arr, int curr, int threshold ) {
```

```
    if(curr == arr.length - 1)
```

```
        if (return arr[curr] > threshold) return 1;
```

```
        else return 0;
```

```
    else
```

```
        int usingFirst = 0;
```

```
        if(arr[curr] > threshold)
```

```
            usingFirst = 1 + lisHelper(arr, curr+1, arr[curr]);
```

```
        int notUsingFirst = lisHelper(arr, curr+1, threshold);
```

```
        return Math.max(usingFirst, notUsingFirst);
```

```
    }
```

Bubble Sort

- First Pass:
 - (**5** 1 3 2 9) → (1 **5** 3 2 9)
 - (1 **5** 3 2 9) → (1 3 **5** 2 9)
 - (1 3 **5** 2 9) → (1 3 2 **5** 9)
 - (1 3 2 **5** 9) → (1 3 2 5 9)
- Second Pass:
 - (**1** 3 2 5 9) → (**1** 3 2 5 9)
 - (1 **3** 2 5 9) → (1 2 **3** 5 9)
 - (1 2 **3** 5 9) → (1 2 3 5 9)
- Third Pass:
 - (**1** 2 3 5 9) → (**1** 2 3 5 9)
 - (1 **2** 3 5 9) → (1 **2** 3 5 9)
- Fourth Pass:
 - (**1** 2 3 5 9) → (**1** 2 3 5 9)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lyZQPjUT5B4>

<http://www.visualgo.net/sorting>

Sorting Preview: Insertion Sort

- Simple sorting algorithm that works by building a sorted list one entry at a time
- Less efficient on large lists than more advanced algorithms
- Advantages:
 - Simple to implement and efficient on small lists
 - Efficient on data sets which are already substantially sorted
- Time complexity
 - $O(n^2)$
- Space complexity
 - $O(n)$

Sorting Preview: Insertion Sort

- 5 7 0 3 4 2 6 1
- 5 7 0 3 4 2 6 1
- 0 5 7 3 4 2 6 1
- 0 3 5 7 4 2 6 1
- 0 3 4 5 7 2 6 1
- 0 2 3 4 5 7 6 1
- 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 1
- 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Sorting Preview: Selection Sort

- Similar to insertion sort
- Performs worse than insertion sort in general
- Noted for its simplicity and performance advantages when compared to complicated algorithms
- The algorithm works as follows:
 - Find the maximum value in the list
 - Swap it with the value in the last position
 - Repeat the steps above for remainder of the list (ending at the second to last position)

Sorting Preview: Selection Sort

- 11 3 27 5 16
- 11 3 16 5 27
- 11 3 5 16 27
- 5 3 11 16 27
- 3 5 11 16 27

- Time Complexity:
 - $O(n^2)$
- Space Complexity:
 - $O(n)$