CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Lecture 3 IFall 2019Instructors:SamBill LSam

Last Time

- Graph Data Structures: Implementation
 - Graph Interface
 - Graph Matrix

Today's Outline

- Graph Data Structures: Implementation
 - Adjacency List Implementation
 - Featuring many Iterators!
- Minimum Spanning Tree
 - Prim's algorithm

Adjacency Array: Undirected Graph



Entry (i,j) store 1 if there is an edge between i and j; else 0 E.G.: edges(B,C) = 1 = edges(C,B)

Efficiency : Assuming Fast Map Ops

	GraphMatrix	
add	O(I)	
addEdge	O(I)	
getEdge	O(I)	
removeEdge	O(I)	
remove	O(V)	
space	O(V ²)	

Adjacency List : Directed Graph



The vertices are stored in an array V[] V[] contains a linked list of edges having a given source

Adjacency List : Undirected Graph



The vertices are stored in an array V[] V[] contains a linked list of edges incident to a given vertex

GraphList

- Rather than keep an adjacency matrix, maintain an *adjacency list of edges* at each vertex (only keep outgoing edges for directed graphs)
- Support both directed and undirected graphs (GraphListDirected, GraphListUndirected)

Vertex and GraphListVertex

- We use the same Edge class for list-based graphs
- We extend Vertex to include an Edge list
- GraphListVertex class adds to Vertex class
 - A Structure to store edges adjacent to the vertex protected Structure<Edge<V,E>> adjacencies; // adjacent edges
 – adjacencies is created as a SinglyLinkedList of edges
 - Several methods

public void addEdge(Edge<V,E> e)
public boolean containsEdge(Edge<V,E> e)
public Edge<V,E> removeEdge(Edge<V,E> e)
public Edge<V,E> getEdge(Edge<V,E> e)
public int degree()
// and methods to produce Iterators...

GraphListVertex

```
public GraphListVertex(V key){
        super(key); // init Vertex fields
        adjacencies = new SinglyLinkedList<Edge<V,E>>();
}
public void addEdge(Edge<V,E> e) {
        if (!containsEdge(e)) adjacencies.add(e);
}
public boolean containsEdge(Edge<V,E> e) {
        return adjacencies.contains(e);
}
public Edge<V,E> removeEdge(Edge<V,E> e) {
        return adjacencies.remove(e);
}
```

GraphListVertex Iterators

```
// Iterator for incident edges
public Iterator<Edge<V,E>> adjacentEdges() {
    return adjacencies.iterator();
}
// Iterator for adjacent vertices
public Iterator<V> adjacentVertices() {
    return new GraphListAIterator<V,E>
        (adjacentEdges(), label());
}
```

GraphListAlterator creates an Iterator over *vertices* based on The Iterator over *edges* produced by adjacentEdges()

GraphListAlterator

GraphListAlterator uses two instance variables

```
protected AbstractIterator<Edge<V,E>> edges;
protected V vertex;
```

```
public GraphListAIterator(Iterator<Edge<V,E>> i, V v) {
    edges = (AbstractIterator<Edge<V,E>>)i;
    vertex = v;
}
public V next() {
    Edge<V,E> e = edges.next();
    if (vertex.equals(e.here()))
        return e.there();
    else { // could be an undirected edge!
        return e.here();
    }
}
```

GraphListElterator

GraphListElterator uses one instance variable

protected AbstractIterator<Edge<V,E>> edges;

GraphListElterator

- •Takes the Map storing the vertices
- •Uses it to build a linked list of all edges

•Gets an iterator for this linked list and stores it, using it in its own methods

GraphList

- To implement GraphList, we use the GraphListVertex (GLV) class
- GraphListVertex class
 - Maintain linked list of edges at each vertex
 - Instance vars: label, visited flag, linked list of edges
- GraphList abstract class
 - Instance vars:
 - Map<V,GraphListVertex<V,E>> dict; // label -> vertex
 - boolean directed; // is graph directed?
- How do we implement key GL methods?
 - GraphList(), add(), getEdge(), ...

```
protected GraphList(boolean dir) {
      dict = new Hashtable<V,GraphListVertex<V,E>>();
      directed = dir;
}
public void add(V label) {
      if (dict.containsKey(label)) return;
      GraphListVertex<V,E> v = new
            GraphListVertex<V,E>(label);
      dict.put(label,v);
}
public Edge<V,E> getEdge(V label1, V label2) {
      Edge < V, E > e = new Edge < V, E > (get(label1)),
      get(label2), null, directed);
      return dict.get(label1).getEdge(e);
```

GraphListDirected

 GraphListDirected (GraphListUndirected) implements the methods requiring different treatment due to (un)directedness of edges

• addEdge, remove, removeEdge, ...

```
// addEdge in GraphListDirected.java
// first vertex is source, second is destination
public void addEdge(V vLabel1, V vLabel2, E label) {
    // first get the vertices
    GraphListVertex<V,E> v1 = dict.get(vLabel1);
    GraphListVertex<V,E> v2 = dict.get(vLabel2);
    // create the new edge
    Edge<V,E> e = new Edge<V,E>(v1.label(), v2.label(), label, true);
    // add edge only to source vertex linked list (aka adjacency list)
    v1.addEdge(e);
```

17

}

```
public V remove(V label) {
  //Get vertex out of map/dictionary
   GraphListVertex<V,E> v = dict.get(label);
  //Iterate over all vertex labels (called the map "keyset")
   Iterator<V> vi = iterator();
   while (vi.hasNext()) {
        //Get next vertex label in iterator
        V v2 = vi.next();
        //Skip over the vertex label we're removing
        //(Nodes don't have edges to themselves...)
        if (!label.equals(v2)) {
           //Remove all edges to "label"
           //If edge does not exist, removeEdge returns null
           removeEdge(v2,label);
        }
    }
    //Remove vertex from map
    dict.remove(label);
    return v.label();
```

}

18

public E removeEdge(V vLabel1, V vLabel2) {

```
//Get vertices out of map
GraphListVertex<V,E> v1 = dict.get(vLabel1);
GraphListVertex<V,E> v2 = dict.get(vLabel2);
```

```
//Create a "temporary" edge connecting two vertices
Edge<V,E> e = new Edge<V,E>(v1.label(), v2.label(), null, true);
```

//Remove edge from source vertex linked list

```
e = v1.removeEdge(e);
if (e == null) return null;
else return e.label();
```

}

Efficiency Revisited

- Assume Map operations are O(I) (for now)
 - |E| = number of edges
 - |V| = number of vertices
- Runtime of add, addEdge, getEdge, removeEdge, remove?
- Space usage?
- Conclusions
 - Matrix is better for dense graphs
 - List is better for sparse graphs
 - For graphs "in the middle" there is no clear winner

Efficiency : Assuming Fast Map

	Matrix	GraphList
add	O(I)	O(I)
addEdge	O(I)	O(I)
getEdge	O(I)	O(V)
removeEdge	O(I)	O(V)
remove	O(V)	O(E)
space	O(V ²)	O(V + E)

Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



MST: Applications

- Cable/phone/ethernet network
- Circuit design
- Subroutine for other algorithms
 - Travelling salesman approximation
- Financial markets

Basic Graph Properties

- Recall: An undirected graph G=(V,E) is connected if for every pair u,v in V, there is a path from u to v (and so from v to u)
- The maximal sized connected subgraphs of G are called its *connected components*
 - Note: They are induced subgraphs of G
- An undirected graph without cycles is a forest
- A connected forest is called a tree.
 - Not to be confused with the data structure!

Facts About Graphs

Thm: Every connected graph G=(V,E) contains a spanning subgraph G'=(V,E') that is a tree

• That is, a spanning tree

Proof idea:

- If G is not a tree, then it contains a cycle C
- Removing an edge from C leaves G connected (why)
- Repeat until no more cycles remain

Edge-Weighted Graphs

- An edge-weighting of a graph G=(V,E) is an assignment of a number (weight) to each edge of G
 - We write the weight of e as w(e) or w_e
- The weight w(G') of any subgraph G' of G is the sum of the weights of the edges in G'

A Famous Problem

- Given a connected, undirected graph G=(V,E) with non-negative edge weights, find a minimum-weight, connected, spanning subgraph of G.
- Frequently, we refer to the edge weights as costs and so this problem becomes:
- Given an undirected graph G with edge costs, compute a minimum-cost spanning tree of G.

Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



Minimum-Cost Spanning Trees



Finding a MCST

Suppose we just wanted to find a PCST (pretty cheap spanning tree), here's one idea: Grow It Greedily!

- Pick a vertex and find its cheapest incident edge. Now we have a (small) tree
- Repeatedly add the cheapest edge to the tree that keeps it a tree (connected, no cycles)
- This method is called Prim's Algorithm
- How close might this get us to the MCST?

An Amazing Fact

Thm: (Prim 1957) The greedy tree-growing algorithm always finds a minimum-cost spanning tree for any connected graph.

Contrast this with the greedy exam scheduling algorithm, which does *not* always find a minimum schedule (coloring)

Why does this work?

Prim's Algorithm

prim(G) // finds a MCST of connected G=(V,E) let v be a vertex of G; set $V_1 \leftarrow \{v\}$ and $V_2 \leftarrow V_1 - \{v\}$ let A be the set of all edges between V_1 and V_2 while($|V_1| < |V|$)

> let e \leftarrow cheapest edge in A between V₁ and V₂ add e to MCST

let $u \leftarrow$ the vertex of e in V_2

move u from V_2 to V_1 ;

add to A all edges incident to u

// note: A may have edges with both ends in $V_{\rm I}$

Implementing Prim's Algorithm

- We'll "build" the MCST by marking its edges as "visited" in G
- We'll "build" V₁ by marking its vertices visited
- How should we represent A?
 - What operations are important to A?
 - Add edges
 - Remove cheapest edge
 - A priority queue!
- When we remove an edge from A, check to ensure it has one end in each of V_1 and V_2

ComparableEdge Class

- Values in a PriorityQueue need to implement Comparable
- We wrap edges of the PQ in a class called ComparableEdge
 - It requires the label used by graph edges to be of a Comparable type

MCST: The Code

PriorityQueue<ComparableEdge<String,Integer>> q =
 new VectorHeap<ComparableEdge<String,Integer>>();

String v = null; // current vertex
Edge<String,Integer> e; // current edge
boolean searching; // still building tree
g.reset(); // clear visited flags

```
// select a node from the graph, if any
Iterator<String> vi = g.iterator();
if (!vi.hasNext()) return;
v = vi.next();
```

MCST: The Code

```
do {
```

```
// visit the vertex and add all outgoing edges
to the priority queue
g.visit(v);
Iterator<String> ai = g.neighbors(v);
while (ai.hasNext()) {
      // turn it into outgoing edge
      e = g.getEdge(v,ai.next());
      // add the edge to the queue
      q.add(new
        ComparableEdge<String,Integer>(e));
}
```

MCST: The Code

```
searching = true;
      while (searching && !q.isEmpty()) {
            // grab next shortest edge
            e = q.remove();
            // Is e between V_1 and V_2 (subtle code!!)
            v = e.there(); // does e connect V_1 to V_2?
            if (q.isVisited(v)) v = e.here();
            if (!g.isVisited(v)) {
                  searching = false;
                  q.visitEdge(g.getEdge(e.here(),
                         e.there()));
            }
      }
} while (!searching);
```

Prim : Space Complexity

- Graph: O(|V| + |E|)
 - Each vertex and edge uses a constant amount of space
- Priority Queue O(|E|)
 - Each edge takes up constant amount of space
- Every other object (including the neighbor iterator) uses a constant amount of space
- Result: O(|V| + |E|)
 - Optimal in Big-O sense!

Prim : Time Complexity

Assume Map ops are O(I) time (not quite true!) For each iteration of do ... while loop

- Add neighbors to queue: O(log |E|) time each
- Find next edge: O(log |E|) time each

- Each edge is added to the queue at most once
 - O(E log |E|) time