CSCI 136
Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Lecture 24

Fall 2019

Instructor: Bill & Sam

Administrative Details

- Lab 8 today!
- You can work with a partner
- Bring a design to lab
- Try to take advantage of
  - Abstract base classes/inheritance
  - Data structures you've learned

- We want you to keep track of both *total* time to complete, and *average* customer wait time

Today

- Heapsort
- Introduction to Binary Search Trees (BSTs)

Full vs. Complete (non-standard!)

- Full tree – A full binary tree of height h has *leaves only* on level h, and each internal node has exactly 2 children.



- Complete tree – A *complete* binary tree of height h is *full* to height h-1 and has all leaves at level h in leftmost locations.



All full trees are complete, but not all complete trees are full!

HeapSort

- Heaps yield another O(n log n) sort method
- To HeapSort a Vector "in place"
  - Perform bottom-up heapify on the reverse ordering: that is: highest rank/lowest priority elements are near the root (low end of Vector)
  - Now repeatedly remove elements to fill in Vector from tail to head
    - For(int i = v.size() – 1; i > 0; i--)
      - RemoveMin from v[0..i] // v[i] is now not in heap
      - Put removed value in location v[i]

Heap Sort vs QuickSort



Why Heapsort?

- Heapsort is slower than Quicksort in general
- Any benefits to heapsort?
  - *Guaranteed* O(n log n) runtime
- Decent (i.e. average) performance on mostly sorted data, unlike quicksort
- Good for incremental sorting

Tree Summary

- Trees
  - Express hierarchical relationships
  - Tree structure captures relationship
    - i.e., ancestry, game boards, decisions, etc.
- Heap
  - Partially ordered tree based on item priority
  - Node invariants: parent has higher priority than each child
  - Provides efficient PriorityQueue implementation

Improving on OrderedVector

- The OrderedVector class provides O(log n) time searching for a group of n comparable objects
  - add() and remove(), though, take O(n) time in the worst case---and on average!
- Can we improve on those running times without sacrificing the O(log n) search time?
- Let's find out....

Binary Trees and Orders

- Binary trees impose multiple orderings on their elements (pre-/in-/post-/level-orders)
- In particular, in-order traversal suggests a natural way to hold comparable items
  - For each node v in tree
    - All values in left subtree of v are at most v
    - All values in right subtree of v are at least v
- This leads us to...

Binary Search Trees

- Binary search trees maintain a *total* ordering among elements (assumes comparability)
- Definition: A BST T is either:
  - Empty
  - Has root r with subtrees T_L and T_R such that
    - All nodes in T_L have smaller value than r
    - All nodes in T_R have larger value than r
    - T_L and T_R are also BSTs

BST Observations

- The same data can be represented by many BST shapes
- Searching for a value in a BST takes time proportional to the height of the tree
  - Reminder: trees have height, nodes have depth
- Additions to a BST happen at nodes missing at least one child (*a constraint!*)
- Removing from a BST can involve *any* node

BST Operations

- BSTs will implement the OrderedStructure Interface
  - `add(E item)`
  - `contains(E item)`
  - `get(E item)`
  - `remove(E item)`
  - Runtime of above operations?
    - All O(h) – where h is the tree height
  - `iterator()`
    - `This will provide an in-order traversal`

BST Implementation

- The BST holds the following items
  - BinaryTree root: the root of the tree
  - BinaryTree EMPTY: a static empty BinaryTree
    - To use for all empty nodes of tree
  - int count: the number of nodes in the BST
  - Comparator<E> ordering: for comparing nodes
    - Note: E must implement Comparable
- Two constructors: One takes a Comparator
  - Other creates a NaturalComparatot

BST Implementation: locate

- Several methods search the tree
  - add, remove, contains
- We factor out common code: locate method
- *protected* locate(BinaryTree<E> *node*, E *v*)
  - Returns a BinaryTree<E> in the subtree with root *n* such that either
    - *n* has its value equal to *v*, or
    - *v* is not in this subtree and *n* is the node whose child *v* should be
- How would we implement locate()?

BST Implementation: locate

```
BinaryTree locate(BinaryTree root, E val)
        if root's value equals val return root
        child ← child of root whose subtree should
                hold val
        if child is emptry tree, return root
                // val not in subtree based at root
        else //keep looking
                return locate(child, val)
```

BST Implementation: locate

- What about this line?

  child ← child of root whose subtree should hold value

- If the tree can have multiple nodes with same value, then we need to be careful
- Convention: During *add* operation, only move to right subtree if value to be added is *greater than* value at node
- We'll look at *add* later
- Let's look at *locate* now....

The code : locate

```
protected BinaryTree<E> locate(BinaryTree<E> root, E value) {
        E rootValue = root.value();
        BinaryTree<E> child;

        // found at root: done
        if (rootValue.equals(value)) return root;

        // look left if less-than, right if greater-than
        if (ordering.compare(rootValue,value) < 0)
            child = root.right();
        else
            child = root.left();

        // no child there: not in tree, return this node,
        // else keep searching
        if (child.isEmpty()) return root;
        else
            return locate(child, value);
}
```

Other core BST methods

- locate(v) returns either a node containing v or a node where v can be added as a child
- locate() is used by
  - `public boolean contains(E value)`
  - `public E get(E value)`
  - `public void add(E value)`
  - `Public void remove(E value)`
- Some of these also use another utility method
  - `protected BT predecessor(BT root)`
- Let's look at contains() first...

Contains

```
public boolean contains(E value){
    if (root.isEmpty()) return false;

    BinaryTree<E> possibleLocation = locate(root,value);

    return value.equals(possibleLocation.value());
}
```

First (Bad) Attempt: add(E value)

```
public void add(E value) {
        BinaryTree<E> newNode = new BinaryTree<E>(value,EMPTY,EMPTY);
        if (root.isEmpty()) root = newNode;
        else {
                BinaryTree<E> insertLocation = locate(root,value);
                E nodeValue = insertLocation.value();
        if (ordering.compare(nodeValue,value) < 0)
                insertLocation.setRight(newNode);
        else
                insertLocation.setLeft(newNode);
        }
        count++;
}
```

Problem: If repeated values are allowed, left subtree might not be empty when setLeft is called

Add: Repeated Nodes



Where would a new K be added?
A new V?

Add Duplicate to Predecessor

- If insertLocation has a left child then
  - Find insertLocation's predecessor
  - Predecessor: item stored immeditately "before" value in true
  - Add repeated node as right child of predecessor
  - If insertLocation has a left subtree that's where Predecessor will be
    - Rightmost item in the left subtree

Corrected Version: add(E value)

```
BinaryTree<E> newNode = new BinaryTree<E>(value,EMPTY,EMPTY);
if (root.isEmpty()) root = newNode;
else {
    BinaryTree<E> insertLocation = locate(root,value);
    E nodeValue = insertLocation.value();
    if (ordering.compare(nodeValue,value) < 0)
        insertLocation.setRight(newNode);
    else
        if (insertLocation.left().isEmpty())
            insertLocation.setLeft(newNode);
        else
            // if value is in tree, we insert just before
            predecessor(insertLocation).setRight(newNode);
}
count++;
```

How to Find Predecessor



Where would a new K be added?
A new V?

Predecessor

```
protected BinaryTree<E> predecessor(BinaryTree<E> root) {
    Assert.pre(!root.isEmpty(), ”Root has predecessor");
    Assert.pre(!root.left().isEmpty(),"Root has left child.");

    BinaryTree<E> result = root.left();

    while (!result.right().isEmpty())
        result = result.right();

    return result;
}
```

Removal

- Removing the root is a (not so) special case
- Let's figure that out first
  - If we can remove the root, we can remove any element in a BST in the same way
    - Do you believe me?
- We need to implement:
  - `public E remove(E item)`
  - `protected BT removeTop(BT top)`

Case 1: No left binary tree



Case 2: No right binary tree



Case 3: Left has no right subtree



Case 4: General Case

- Consider BST requirements:
  - Left subtree must be <= root
  - Right subtree must be > root
- Strategy: replace the root with the largest value that is less than or equal to it
  - predecessor(root) : rightmost left descendant
- This may require reattaching the predecessor's left subtree!

Case 4: General Case



Replace root with predecessor(root), then patch up the remaining tree

Case 4: General Case



Replace root with predecessor(root), then patch up the remaining tree

RemoveTop(topNode)

Detach left and right sub-trees from root (i.e. topNode)

If either left or right is empty, **return** the other one

If left has no right child

    make right the right child of left then **return** left

Otherwise find largest node C in left

    // C is the right child of its own parent P

    // C is the predecessor of right (ignoring topNode)

Detach C from P; make C's left child the right child of P

Make C new root with left and right as its sub-trees

But What About Height?

- Can we design a binary search tree that is always “shallow”?
- Yes! In many ways. Here’s one
- AVL trees
  - Named after its two inventors, G.M. Adelson-Velsky and E.M. Landis, who published a paper about AVL trees in 1962 called "An algorithm for the organization of information"



- The *balance factor* of a node is the height of its right subtree minus the height of its left subtree. A node with balance factor 1, 0, or -1 is considered *balanced*.
- A node with any other balance factor is considered *unbalanced* and requires rebalancing the tree.

Single Rotation



Unbalanced trees can be rotated to achieve balance.

Single Right Rotation



Double Rotation



AVL Tree Facts

- A tree that is AVL except at root, where root balance factor equals ± 2 can be rebalanced with at most 2 rotations
- add(v) requires at most O(log n) balance factor changes and one (single or double) rotation to restore AVL structure
- remove(v) requires at most O(log n) balance factor changes and (single or double) rotations to restore AVL structure

AVL Trees: One of Many

- There are many strategies for tree balancing to preserve O(log n) height, including
- AVL Trees: guaranteed O(log n) height
- Red-black trees: guaranteed O(log n) height
- B-trees (not binary): guaranteed O(log n) height
  - 2-3 trees, 2-3-4 trees, red-black 2-3-4 trees, ...
- Splay trees: *Amortized* O(log n) time operations
- Randomized trees: O(log n) expected height