CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

> Lecture 23 Fall 2019 Instructor: Bill & Sam

### Administrative Details

- Lab 8: Simulations
  - You will simulate two queuing strategies
  - You can work with a partner
  - Time spent on lab before Wed. is time well-spent!
- Problem Set 3 is online
  - Due this Friday at beginning of class

### Last Time

- Improving Huffman's Algorithm
- Priority Queues & Heaps
  - A "somewhat-ordered" data structure



- Finishing up with heaps
  - HeapSort
  - Alternative Heap Structures
- Binary Search Tree: A New Ordered Structure
  - Definitions
  - Implementation

## Implementing Heaps

- VectorHeap
  - Use conceptual array representation of BT (ArrayTree)
  - But use extensible Vector instead of array (makes adding elements easier)
  - Note:
    - Root of tree is location 0 of Vector
    - Children of node in location i are in locations 2i+1 (left) and 2i+2 (right)
    - Parent of node i is in location (i-1)/2



## Implementing Heaps

- Features
  - No gaps in array (array is complete)-- why?
    - We always add in next available array slot (left-most available spot in binary tree;
    - We always remove using "final" leaf
  - Heap Invariant becomes
    - data[i] <= data[2i+1]; data[i] <= data[2i+2] (or kids might be null)</li>
  - When elements are added and removed, do small amount of work to "re-heapify"
    - How small? Note: finding a node's child or parent takes constant time, as does finding "final" leaf or next slot for adding
    - Since this heap corresponds to a full binary tree, the depth of the tree is O(log n), so percolate/pushDown takes O(log n) time!

### **VectorHeap Summary**

• Let's look at VectorHeap code....

- Add/remove are both O(log n)
- Data is not completely sorted
  - "Partial" order is maintained
- Note: VectorHeap(Vector<E> v)
  - Takes an unordered Vector and uses it to construct a heap
  - How?

# Heapifying A Vector (or array)

- Method I: Top-Down
  - Assume V[0...k] satisfies the heap property
  - Now call percolate on item in location k+1
  - Then V[0..k+1] satisfies the heap property
- Method II: Bottom-up
  - Assume V[k..n] satisfies the heap property
  - Now call pushDown on item in location k-I
  - Then V[k-1..n] satisfies heap property

# Heapifying A Vector (or array)

- Method I: Top-Down
  - Assume V[0...k] satisfies the heap property
  - Now call percolate on item in location k+1
  - Then V[0..k+1] satisfies the heap property
- Method II: Bottom-up
  - Assume V[k..n] satisfies the heap property
  - Now call pushDown on item in location k-I
  - Then V[k-1..n] satisfies heap property

### Top-Down vs Bottom-Up

 Top-down heapify: elements at depth d may be swapped d times: Total # of swaps is at most

$$\sum_{d=0}^{h} d2^{d} = (h-1)2^{h+1} + 2 = (\log n - 1)2n + 2$$

- This is O(n log n)
- Some intuition: most of the elements are in the lowest levels of the tree, so each of them might have to move to root: O(log n) swaps per element

### Top-Down vs Bottom-Up

- Bottom-up heapify: elements at depth d may be swapped h-d times: Total # of swaps is at most  $\sum_{d=0}^{h} (h-d)2^{d} = 2^{h+1} - h - 2 = 2n - \log n + 2$ 
  - This is O(n) --- beats top-down!
  - Some intuition: most of the elements are in the lowest levels of the tree, so each of them will only be pushed down (swapped) a small number of times
     SO COOL!!!

#### Some Sums

$$\sum_{d=0}^{d=k} 2^d = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

$$\sum_{d=0}^{d=k} r^d = \frac{(r^{k+1}-1)}{(r-1)}$$

$$\sum_{d=0}^{d=k} d * 2^d = (k-1) * 2^{k+1} + 2$$

$$\sum_{d=0}^{d=k} (k-d) * 2^d = 2^{k+1} - k - 2$$

All of these can be proven by (weak) induction.

Try these to hone your skills

The second sum is called a geometric series. It works for any r≠1

### HeapSort

- Heaps yield another O(n log n) sort method
- To HeapSort a Vector "in place"
  - Perform bottom-up heapify on the reverse ordering: that is: highest rank/lowest priority elements are near the root (low end of Vector)
  - Now repeatedly remove elements to fill in Vector from tail to head
    - For(int i = v.size() I; i > 0; i--)
      - RemoveMin from v[0..i] // v[i] is now not in heap
      - Put removed value in location v[i]

#### Heap Sort vs QuickSort



## Why Heapsort?

- Heapsort is slower than Quicksort in general
- Any benefits to heapsort?
  - *Guaranteed* O(n log n) runtime
- Decent performance on mostly sorted data, unlike quicksort
- Good for incremental sorting

### More on Heaps

- Set-up: We want to build a *large* heap. We have several processors available.
- We'd like to use them to build smaller heaps and then merge them together
- Suppose we can share the array holding the elements among the processors.
  - How long to merge two heaps?
  - How complicated is it?
- What if we use BinaryTrees for our heaps?

## Mergeable Heaps

- We now want to support the additional operation merge(heap I, heap 2)
- Basic idea: heap with larger root somehow points into heap with smaller root
- Challenges
  - Points how? Where?
  - How much reheapifying is needed
  - How deep do trees get after many merges?

### Skew Heap

- Don't force heaps to be complete BTs?
- Develop recursive merge algorithm that keeps tree shallow over time
- Theorem: Any set of m SkewHeap operations can be performed in O(m log n) time, where n is the total number of items in the SkewHeaps
- Let's sketch out merge operation....

#### Skew Heap: Merge Pseudocode

SkewHeap merge(SkewHeap S, SkewHeap T) if either S or T is empty, return the other if T.minValue < S.minValue swap S and T (S now has minValue) if S has no left subtree, T becomes left subtree else

> let temp point to right subtree of S left subtree of S becomes right subtree of S merge(temp, T) becomes left subtree of S return S

## **Tree Summary**

- Trees
  - Express hierarchical relationships
  - Tree structure captures relationship
    - i.e., ancestry, game boards, decisions, etc.
- Heap
  - Partially ordered tree based on item priority
  - Node invariants: parent has higher priority than each child
  - Provides efficient PriorityQueue implementation

## Improving on OrderedVector

- The OrderedVector class provides O(log n) time searching for a group of n comparable objects
  - add() and remove(), though, take O(n) time in the worst case---and on average!
- Can we improve on those running times without sacrificing the O(log n) search time?
- Let's find out....

## **Binary Trees and Orders**

- Binary trees impose multiple orderings on their elements (pre-/in-/post-/level-orders)
- In particular, in-order traversal suggests a natural way to hold comparable items
  - For each node v in tree
    - All values in left subtree of v are at most v
    - All values in right subtree of v are at least v
- This leads us to...

## **Binary Search Trees**

- Binary search trees maintain a total ordering among elements
- Definition: A BST T is either:
  - Empty
  - Has root r with subtrees  $T_L$  and  $T_R$  such that
    - All nodes in T<sub>L</sub> have smaller value than r
    - All nodes in T<sub>R</sub> have larger value than r
    - $T_L$  and  $T_R$  are also BSTs
- Examples

#### **BST Observations**

- The same data can be represented by many BST shapes
- Searching for a value in a BST takes time proportional to the height of the tree
  - Reminder: trees have height, nodes have depth
- Additions to a BST happen at nodes missing at least one child (*a constraint*!)
- Removing from a BST can involve *any* node

## **BST Operations**

- BSTs will implement the OrderedStructure Interface
  - add(E item)
  - contains(E item)
  - get(E item)
  - remove(E item)
  - iterator()
    - This will provide an in-order traversal
- Runtime of add, contains, get, remove: O(height)
- Goal: Keep the height to O(log n)
  - Duane's BinarySearchTree class doesn't achieve this...
  - But his RedBlackSearchTree does!

## **Application: Dictionary**

- Create a BST of ComparableAssociations
  - Order BST by key
  - Two objects are equal if keys are equal

- Example: Symbol tables (PostScript lab) are Dictionaries
  - But would only use a BST if the set of possible symbols was very large
- What lab used a large dictionary?

## **Application: Tree Sort**

- Can we sort data using a BST?
  - Yes!
- Runtime?
  - To build a tree with n elements, we do n insertions: O(n\*h), where h is the maximum height attained by the tree
  - In order traversal: O(n)
  - Total runtime: O(n\*h)

### **BST Implementation**

- The BST holds the following items
  - BinaryTree root: the root of the tree
  - BinaryTree EMPTY: a static empty BinaryTree
    - To use for all empty nodes of tree
  - int count: the number of nodes in the BST
  - Comparator<E> ordering: for comparing nodes
    - Note: E must implement Comparable
- Two constructors: One takes a Comparator
  - The other creates a NaturalComparator

#### **BST Implementation: locate**

- Several methods search the tree: add, remove, contains
- We factor out common code: locate method
- protected locate(BinaryTree<E> b, E v)
  - Returns a BinaryTree<E> in the subtree with root node such that either
    - node has its value equal to v, or
    - v is not in this subtree and node is where v would be added as a (left or right) child
- How would we implement locate()?

#### **BST Implementation: locate**

BinaryTree locate(BinaryTree root, E value) if root's value equals value return root child froot that should hold value if child is empty tree, return root // value not in subtree based at root else //keep looking return locate(child, value)

#### **BST Implementation: locate**

- What about this line?
   child child of root that should hold value
- If the tree can have multiple nodes with same value, then we need to be careful
- Convention: During *add* operation, only move to right subtree if value to be added is *greater than* value at node
- We'll look at add later
- Let's look at *locate* now....

#### The code : locate

protected BinaryTree<E> locate(BinaryTree<E> root, E value) {
 E rootValue = root.value();
 BinaryTree<E> child;

```
// found at root: done
```

if (rootValue.equals(value)) return root;

```
// look left if less-than, right if greater-than
```

if (ordering.compare(rootValue,value) < 0)
 child = root.right();</pre>

else

}

```
child = root.left();
```

```
// no child there: not in tree, return this node,
// else keep searching
if (child.isEmpty()) return root;
else
    return locate(child, value);
```

#### Other core BST methods

- locate(v) returns either a node containing v or a node where v can be added as a child
- locate() is used by
  - public boolean contains(E value)
  - public E get(E value)
  - public void add(E value)
  - Public void remove(E value)
- Some of these also use another utility method
  - protected BT predecessor(BT root)
- Let's look at contains() first...



public boolean contains(E value){

}

if (root.isEmpty()) return false;

BinaryTree<E> possibleLocation = locate(root,value);

return value.equals(possibleLocation.value());

### First (Bad) Attempt: add(E value)

```
public void add(E value) {
       BinaryTree<E> newNode = new BinaryTree<E>(value,EMPTY,EMPTY);
       if (root.isEmpty()) root = newNode;
       else {
               BinaryTree<E> insertLocation = locate(root,value);
               E nodeValue = insertLocation.value();
       if (ordering.compare(nodeValue,value) < 0)
               insertLocation.setRight(newNode);
       else
               insertLocation.setLeft(newNode);
        }
       count++;
}
```

Problem: If repeated values are allowed, left subtree might not be empty when setLeft is called

#### Add: Repeated Nodes



Where would a new K be added? A new V?

### Add Duplicate to Predecessor

- If insertLocation has a left child then
  - Find insertLocation's predecessor
  - Add repeated node as right child of predecessor
  - Predecessor will be in insertLocation's left sub-tree
    - Do you believe me?

### Corrected Version: add(E value)

```
BinaryTree<E> newNode = new BinaryTree<E>(value,EMPTY,EMPTY);
if (root.isEmpty()) root = newNode;
else {
```

```
BinaryTree<E> insertLocation = locate(root,value);
```

```
E nodeValue = insertLocation.value();
```

if (ordering.compare(nodeValue,value) < 0)</pre>

```
insertLocation.setRight(newNode);
```

```
else
```

```
if (insertLocation.left().isEmpty())
    insertLocation.setLeft(newNode);
```

else

```
// if value is in tree, we insert just before
predecessor(insertLocation).setRight(newNode);
```

}

count++;

#### How to Find Predecessor



Where would a new K be added? A new V?

#### Predecessor

```
protected BinaryTree<E> predecessor(BinaryTree<E> root) {
    Assert.pre(!root.isEmpty(), "Root has predecessor");
    Assert.pre(!root.left().isEmpty(), "Root has left child.");
```

```
BinaryTree<E> result = root.left();
```

```
while (!result.right().isEmpty())
  result = result.right();
```

```
return result;
```

}

### Removal

- Removing the root is a (not so) special case
- Let's figure that out first
  - If we can remove the root, we can remove any element in a BST in the same way
    - Do you believe me?
- We need to implement:
  - public E remove(E item)
  - protected BT removeTop(BT top)

#### Case I: No left binary tree



#### Case 2: No right binary tree



#### Case 3: Left has no right subtree



## Case 4: General Case (HARD!)

- Consider BST requirements:
  - Left subtree must be <= root</li>
  - Right subtree must be > root
- Strategy: replace the root with the largest value that is less than or equal to it
  - predecessor(root) : rightmost left descendant
- This may require reattaching the predecessor's left subtree!

#### Case 4: General Case (HARD!)



Replace root with predecessor(root), then patch up the remaining tree

#### Case 4: General Case (HARD!)



Replace root with predecessor(root), then patch up the remaining tree

## RemoveTop(topNode)

Detach left and right sub-trees from root (i.e. topNode) If either left or right is empty, **return** the other If left has no right child make right the right child of left then **return** left Otherwise find largest node C in left // C is the right child of its own parent P // C is the predecessor of right (ignoring topNode)

Detach C from P; make C's left child the right child of P

Make C new root with left and right as its sub-trees

## But What About Height?

- Can we design a binary search tree that is always "shallow"?
- Yes! In many ways. Here's one
- AVL trees
  - Named after its two inventors, G.M. Adelson-Velsky and E.M. Landis, who published a paper about AVL trees in 1962 called "An algorithm for the organization of information"